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## INTRODUCTION

This report reflects the state of Education on Anguilla for the 2014-2015 academic year.
Section One contains data on student enrollment, staffing, pupil attendance, suspensions at the secondary level and academic achievements among students on various levels on key stage assessments. Information for this section is provided under the headings of preschool, primary and secondary levels of education.

Section Two is a report of the Department of Education's Units for the period. The headings are as follows:

1. Early Childhood Education
2. Primary Education
3. Secondary Education
4. Multi- Professional Support Services
5. Teacher Training/Professional Development Unit
6. Curriculum Development: Reform and Renewal
7. Assessment Unit
8. Technical and Vocational Education and Training
9. Career and Guidance Unit


## PRESCHOOLS

## Enrollment

The academic year 2014-2015 recorded 11 pre-school centres on the island due to the closure of First Steps Montesorri Academy.

The number of students enrolled was 460, an increase of 8 students from the 2013-2014 academic year. This is shown in Table 1.1 and Figure 1.1.

Table 1.2 shows that the male to female students ratio was approximately 1.1, with boys accounting for $50 \%$ (231 students) and girls 50\% (229 students) of the enrollment.

46\% (211 students) were 4 year olds while 54\% (249 students) were 3 year olds.
St. Mary's Pre-school recorded the highest enrollment with 168 students, representing $37 \%$ of the pre-school population, followed by Prophecy Preschool with 70 students (15\%). The school with the smallest enrollment was Omololu International School with 10 students. This number accounted for only $2 \%$ of the preschool population.

Five of the eleven preschools recorded decreases in enrollment from the 2014-2015 academic year (see Table 1.4). These were Bethel Methodist (down 10), Central Christian (down 5), Ebenezer (down 3), Island Harbour Christian (down 4), and St. Mary's (down 12). Four schools recorded an increase in enrollment i.e. Life Impact Rainbow Preschool (up 3), The Outreach Preschool (up 12), James Ronald Webster Early Childhood Development Centre (up 9) and Omololu International School (up 1). Maranatha's enrolment remained the same.

Tables 1.1 and 1.2 show the total enrollment in the pre-schools for the period 2001/02-2014/15.
Table 1.1: Enrollment, Number of Teachers and Child/Teacher Ratio

| Year | No. of Preschool Centres | Total Enrollment | No. of Teachers | Child/Teacher Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001/2002 | 11 | 403 | 36 | 11 |
| 2002/2003 | 11 | 422 | 35 | 12 |
| 2003/2004 | 11 | 453 | 38 | 12 |
| 2004/2005 | 11 | 427 | 41 | 10 |
| 2005/2006 | 11 | 459 | 39 | 12 |
| 2006/2007 | 11 | 412 | 37 | 11 |
| 2007/2008 | 11 | 450 | 42 | 11 |
| 2008/2009 | 11 | 451 | 42 | 11 |
| 2009/2010 | 11 | 444 | 44 | 10 |
| 2010/2011 | 10 | 434 | 41 | 11 |
| 2011/2012 | 10 | 414 | 39 | 11 |
| 2012/2013 | 12 | 445 | 43 | 10 |
| 2013/2014 | 12 | 452 | 41 | 11 |
| 2014/2015 | 11 | 460 | 42 | 11 |

Figure 1.1: Growth of Enrollment in Pre-school Centres in Anguilla
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Table 1.2: Percentage of Males and Females in Preschools Centres 2001/02-2014/15

| Year | Total Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage <br> of Boys | No of Girls | Percentage of <br> Girls | Boy/Girl <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 / 2 0 0 2}$ | 403 | 195 | $48 \%$ | 208 | $52 \%$ | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 / 2 0 0 3}$ | 422 | 207 | $49 \%$ | 215 | $51 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 / 2 0 0 4}$ | 453 | 229 | $51 \%$ | 224 | $49 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4 / 2 0 0 5}$ | 427 | 215 | $50 \%$ | 212 | $50 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 2 0 0 6}$ | 459 | 232 | $51 \%$ | 227 | $49 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 7}$ | 412 | 218 | $53 \%$ | 194 | $47 \%$ | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 2 0 0 8}$ | 450 | 221 | $49 \%$ | 229 | $51 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 2 0 0 9}$ | 451 | 233 | $52 \%$ | 218 | $48 \%$ | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 2 0 1 0}$ | 444 | 243 | $55 \%$ | 201 | $45 \%$ | 1.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 2 0 1 1}$ | 434 | 226 | $52 \%$ | 208 | $48 \%$ | 1.1 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | 414 | 208 | $50 \%$ | 206 | $50 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 445 | 212 | $48 \%$ | 233 | $52 \%$ | 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 452 | 225 | $50 \%$ | 227 | $50 \%$ | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 2 0 1 5}$ | 460 | 231 | $50 \%$ | 229 | $50 \%$ | 1.0 |

Figure 1.2: Percentage of Males verses Females in Pre-schools Centres in Anguilla


Table 1.3: Showing students enrollment by Age and Sex for 2012-2013, 2013-2014 and 2014-2015

|  |  | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of teacher | 3 years |  | 4 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 12 / 13 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. of teacher s | 3 years |  | 4 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 13 / 14 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No. ofteacher$s$ | 3 years |  | 4 years |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 14 / 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Name of School | Address |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 12 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Female } \\ 12 / 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 12 / 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Female } \\ \hline 12 / 13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 13 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Female } \\ 13 / 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 13 / 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Female } \\ 13 / 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 14 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Female } \\ 14 / 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Male } \\ & 14 / 15 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Female } \\ \hline 14 / 15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Bethel Methodist | South Hill | 4 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 48 | 4 | 17 | 17 | 8 | 15 | 57 | 4 | 23 | 15 | 10 | 19 | 67 |
| Central Christian | Rey Hill | 4 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 33 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 36 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 31 |
| Church of God of Holiness | The <br> Valley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ebenezer | The Valley | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 25 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 18 |
| First Steps Montesorri Academy | Old Ta | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Island Harbour Christian | Island <br> Harbour | 4 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 27 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 23 |
| Life ImpactRainbow Preschool | West End | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 12 |
| Liz | Old Ta | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maranatha | Blowing Point | 1 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 18 |
| Prophecy | The Quarter | 8 | 17 | 28 | 26 | 18 | 89 | 7 | 16 | 18 | 12 | 18 | 64 | 8 | 20 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 70 |
| St. Augustine's | East End |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Mary's | The Valley | 11 | 46 | 54 | 31 | 42 | 173 | 11 | 42 | 42 | 49 | 47 | 180 | 11 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 38 | 168 |
| The Outreach Preschool | Cauls <br> Bottom | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 30 |
| James Ronald Webster Early Childhood Development Centre | East End |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 13 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Omololu } \\ & \text { International } \\ & \text { School } \end{aligned}$ | The Valley | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Total |  | 43 | 116 | 128 | 96 | 105 | 445 | 41 | 111 | 114 | 114 | 113 | 452 | 42 | 129 | 120 | 102 | 109 | 460 |

Figure 1.3.1: Showing 3 years old Male vs Female students enrollment by school for 2014/ 2015


Figure 1.3.2: Showing 3 years old enrollment by school for 2012/ 2013 to 2014/ 2015


Figure 1.3.3: Showing 4 years old Male vs Female students enrollment by school for 2014/2015


Figure 1.3.4: Showing 4 years old enrollment by school for 2012/2013 to 2014/2015


Table 1.4 -: Individual School Enrolment 2001/02 to 2014/15

| Pre-school | 2001/02 | 202/03 | 203/04 | 204/05 | 200506 | 2006107 | 2007/08 | 200809 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staugusines | 7 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Omololu h hternational School | 24 | 18 | 16 | 37 | 14 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 18 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 10 |
| Liz | 38 | 32 | 29 | 28 | 38 | 39 | 31 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 7 | 9 |  |  |
| Church of God (HDliness) | 15 | 23 | 22 | 26 | 27 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 14 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| FirstSteps Montesorii Academy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 15 | 9 |  |
| Life Impact-Rainbow Preschool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 9 | 12 |
| The Outreach Preschool |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 18 | 30 |
| James Ronald Webster <br> Early Childhood <br> Development Centre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 13 |
| Island Harbour Chisitian | 34 | 38 | 28 | 37 | 33 | 27 | 30 | 34 | 32 | 34 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 23 |
| Central Chistian | 22 | 24 | 32 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 35 | 35 | 31 | 33 | 36 | 31 |
| Ebenerer Methodist | . | . | . | 37 | 61 | 43 | 37 | 36 | 34 | 27 | 28 | 25 | 21 | 18 |
| Bethel Methodist | 33 | 44 | 57 | 35 | 43 | 36 | 42 | 49 | 47 | 48 | 47 | 48 | 57 | 67 |
| Marartha Methodist | 14 | 17 | 19 | 13 | 20 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 23 | 16 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 18 |
| Prophecy | 79 | 76 | 82 | 82 | 82 | 94 | 92 | 88 | 96 | 105 | 102 | 89 | 64 | 70 |
| StMay's | 102 | 101 | 114 | 79 | 86 | 84 | 118 | 124 | 130 | 134 | 139 | 173 | 180 | 168 |
| TOTAL | 368 | 393 | 419 | 427 | 459 | 412 | 450 | 451 | 44 | 434 | 414 | 45 | 452 | 460 |
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Figure 1.4.1: Growth of enrollment for Smaller Pre-schools in Anguilla


Figure 1.4.2: Growth of enrollment for Medium sized Pre-schools in Anguilla


Figure 1.4.3: Growth of enrollment for Larger Pre-schools in Anguilla
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## Staffing for Preschools

During this period, the number of Preschool teachers increased from 41 during 2013-2014 academic year to 42 teachers in this reporting period (2014-2015).

The teacher student ratio was 1:11, with Bethel Methodist having the highest ratio (1:17) and Omololu International School with the lowest (1:5).

Of the 42 teachers employed, $36 \%$ ( 15 teachers) were trained teachers compared to $39 \%$ ( 16 teachers) in 2013-2014. The majority of them, 64\% (27 teachers) compared to 61\%, ( 25 teachers) in 2013-2014 are untrained.

Table 1.5-: Pre-school Centres according to Child/Teacher Ratio

|  | 2012-2013 |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-school | No of students | No of Teachers | Teacher/ Pupil ratio 12/13 | No of students | No of Teachers | Teacher/ Pupil ratio $13 / 14$ | No of students | No of Teachers | Teacher/ <br> Pupil <br> ratio <br> 14/15 |
| St Augustines |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Liz | 9 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church of God (Holiness) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Steps Montesorri Academy | 15 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Marantha Methodist | 15 | 1 | 15 | 18 | 2 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 9 |
| Island Harbour Christian | 25 | 4 | 6 | 27 | 4 | 7 | 23 | 4 | 6 |
| Central Christian | 33 | 4 | 8 | 36 | 4 | 9 | 31 | 4 | 8 |
| Life Impact- Rainbow Preschool | 5 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 6 |
| The Outreach Preschool | 5 | 1 | 5 | 18 | 2 | 9 | 30 | 2 | 15 |
| James Ronald Webster <br> Early Childhood Development Centre |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 7 |
| Omololu International School | 3 | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| Ebenezer Methodist | 25 | 3 | 8 | 21 | 2 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 9 |
| Bethel Methodist | 48 | 4 | 12 | 57 | 4 | 14 | 67 | 4 | 17 |
| Prophecy | 89 | 8 | 11 | 64 | 7 | 9 | 70 | 8 | 9 |
| St Mary's | 173 | 11 | 16 | 180 | 11 | 16 | 168 | 11 | 15 |
| TOTAL | 445 | 43 | 10 | 452 | 41 | 11 | 460 | 42 | 11 |

Figure 1.5-: Teacher /Pupil ratio for Pre-School Centres in Anguilla


Table 1.6 -: Showing number of Trained Teachers at Each Pre-School 2012-2013 to 2014-2015

|  | 2012-2013 |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of teachers | Status |  | No. of teachers | Status |  | No. of teachers | Status |  |
| Name of School |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trained } \\ 12 / 13 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Untraine d $12 / 13$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trained } \\ 13 / 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Untraine } \\ \text { d } 13 / 14 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trained } \\ 14 / 15 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Untraine d $14 / 15$ |
| Bethel Methodist | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Central Christian | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Church of God of Holiness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Steps Montessori Academy | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | O |
| Ebenezer | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Island Harbour Christian | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Life ImpactRainbow Preschool | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | O |
| Liz | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maranatha | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Prophecy | 8 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| St. Augustine's |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Mary's | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| The Outreach Preschool | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| James Ronald Webster Early Childhood Development Centre |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Omololu International School | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | O | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 43 | 17 | 26 | 41 | 16 | 25 | 42 | 15 | 27 |
| National | 100\% | 40\% | 60\% | 100\% | 39\% | 61\% | 100\% | 36\% | 64\% |

Figure 1.6 .1-: Showing number of Trained Teachers at Each Pre-School 2012/13 to 2014/15


Figure 1.6.2 -: Showing number of Untrained Teachers at Each Pre-School 2012/13 to 2014/15



## PRIMARY EDUCATION

## Enrollment

There are eight (8) primary schools on the island, of which, six (6) are public primary schools and two (2) are privately run.

The enrolment for the 2014-2015 academic year was 1,533 students, an increase of 2 students from 2013 - 2014 academic year (see Table 2.7). Public schools registered 1,389 students which increased by 7 students, while private schools recorded a decrease of 5 students bringing the total registered to 144 (compared to 149 for 2013/2014).

The largest school enrolment was recorded at the Valley Primary School which registered a total of 441 students an increase of 5 students over the previous year. The school with the least number of students Omololu International, which is a private school with a roll of 53 students. The private primary school with the largest enrolment was Central Christian with a total of 91 students, an increase of 2 students from the 2013-2014 academic year.

Only one of the smaller public schools registered enrolment remained the same as previous academic year. This school was Alwyn Allison Richardson Primary with 149 students. Vivien Vanterpool Primary increased by 12 students, and Morris Vanterpool Primary decreased by 1 student. Two of the three larger schools also recorded decreased rolls, namely Orealia Kelly Primary by 7 students and Adrian T. Hazell Primary by 2 students. Valley Primary enrolment increased by 5 students (see Table 2. 3).

Over the years (see Table 2.5 \& Figure 2.5), the ratio of boys to girls in public schools has remained approximately 1 to 1. For the 2014 2015 academic year, the boys accounted for $50 \%$ ( 693 students) and girls for $50 \%$ ( 696 students) of the enrolment.

The public schools registering the highest percentage of boys (see Table 2.7 \& Figure 2.7.1) were Morris Vanterpool Primary with 54\% (52 out of 96 students) and Alwyn Allison Primary $52 \%$ (78 out of 149 students). The lowest percentage of boys i.e. $48 \%$ were registered at Vivien Vanterpool Primary ( 49 out of 102 students) and Orealia Kelly Primary (153 out of 320 students).

In the private schools, (see Table 2.6 and Figure 2.6) the ratio was also 1 to 1 with boys accounting for $55 \%$ of the enrollment ( 79 boys) and 65 girls, which represent $45 \%$. The private school registering the highest percentage of boys was Omololu International with 58\% (31 out of 53 students). The school with the lowest enrolment of boys in all the primary schools (private and public) was Vivien Vanterpool and Orealia Kelly Primary (see Table 2.7 and Figure 2.7.1)

The Grade level (Nationally) with the largest enrollment was Grade 3 with 233 students ( 210 in public schools, 23 in private schools) and the grade level with the smallest enrolment was Grade 4 with 193 students ( 171 in public schools and 22 in private schools).

Grades 3 and 5 recorded the largest enrollment in the private schools with 23 students. See Table 2.8 for enrolments in each grade for all schools.

Table 2.1: Enrollment, Number of Class Teachers and Pupil/Teacher Ratio for Public Primary Schools 2006/2007-2014/2015

| Year | Total <br> Enrollment | No. of Class <br> Teachers | Pupil/Teacher Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006/2007 | 1429 | 67 | 21 |
| 2007/2008 | 1467 | 74 | 20 |
| 2008/2009 | 1427 | 70 | 20 |
| 2009/2010 | 1430 | 72 | 20 |
| 2010/2011 | 1460 | 72 | 20 |
| 2011/2012 | 1460 | 76 | 19 |
| 2012/2013 | 1417 | 77 | 18 |
| 2013/2014 | 1382 | 77 | 18 |
| 2014/2015 | 1389 | 80 | 17 |

Figure 2.1: Showing Growth of Enrollment in Public Schools


Table 2.2: Enrolment for Private Schools 2008/2009 2014/2015

| Year | Total <br> Enrollment |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 2 0 0 9}$ | 165 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 2 0 1 0}$ | 181 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 2 0 1 1}$ | 187 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | 180 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 133 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 149 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 2 0 1 5}$ | 144 |

Figure 2.2: Showing Growth of Enrollment in Private Schools


Table 2.3: Enrollment in Individual Public Primary Schools 2006/2007-2014/2015

| Year | $2006 / 2007$ | $2007 / 2008$ | $2008 / 2009$ | $2009 / 2010$ | $2010 / 2011$ | $2011 / 2012$ | $2012 / 2013$ | $2013 / 2014$ | $2014 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alwyn Alison | 118 | 120 | 119 | 136 | 131 | 143 | 149 | 149 | 149 |
| Vivien Vanterpool | 106 | 106 | 105 | 110 | 108 | 112 | 110 | 90 | 102 |
| Morris Vanterpool | 123 | 129 | 133 | 134 | 123 | 122 | 108 | 97 | 96 |
| AdrianT. Hazell | 322 | 332 | 306 | 285 | 302 | 305 | 289 | 283 | 281 |
| Orealia Kelly | 362 | 363 | 356 | 355 | 366 | 349 | 332 | 327 | 320 |
| Valley | 398 | 417 | 408 | 410 | 430 | 429 | 429 | 436 | 441 |

Figure 2.3.1: Growth of Enrollment in Smaller Public Primary Schools
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Figure 2.3.2: Growth of Enrollment in Larger Public Primary Schools


Table 2.4: Enrollment in Individual Private Primary Schools 2006/2007-2014/2015

| Year | $2006 / 2007$ | $2007 / 2008$ | $2008 / 2009$ | $2009 / 2010$ | $2010 / 2011$ | $2011 / 2012$ | $2012 / 2013$ | $2013 / 2014$ | $2014 / 2015$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Christian | 105 |  | 130 | 130 | 132 | 120 | 105 | 89 | 91 |
| Omoloul <br> International | 13 | 28 | 35 | 51 | 55 | 60 | 28 | 60 | 53 |

Figure 2.4: Growth of Enrollment in Smaller Private Primary Schools


Table 2.5: Number of Males and Females enrolled in Public Primary Schools 2006/07-2014/15

| Year | Total Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of <br> Boys | No of Girls | Percentage of <br> Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006/2007 | 1429 | 742 | $52 \%$ | 687 | $48 \%$ |
| 2007/2008 | 1467 | 745 | $51 \%$ | 722 | $49 \%$ |
| 2008/2009 | 1427 | 739 | $52 \%$ | 688 | $48 \%$ |
| 2009/2010 | 1430 | 734 | $51 \%$ | 696 | $49 \%$ |
| 2010/2011 | 1460 | 759 | $52 \%$ | 701 | $48 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | 1460 | 756 | $52 \%$ | 704 | $48 \%$ |
| 2012/2013 | 1417 | 732 | $52 \%$ | 685 | $48 \%$ |
| 2013/2014 | 1382 | 709 | $51 \%$ | 673 | $49 \%$ |
| 2014/2015 | 1389 | 693 | $50 \%$ | 696 | $50 \%$ |

## Department of Education End of Year Report 2014-2015

Figure 2.5: Percentage share of Males versus Females at Public Primary Schools in Anguilla


Table 2.6: Number of Males and Females enrolled in Private Primary Schools 2008/20092014/2015

| Year | Total Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of Boys | No of Girls | Percentage of Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2008/2009 | 165 | 77 | 47\% | 88 | 53\% |
| 2009/2010 | 181 | 83 | 46\% | 98 | 54\% |
| 2010/2011 | 187 | 93 | 50\% | 94 | 50\% |
| 2011/2012 | 180 | 86 | 48\% | 94 | 52\% |
| 2012/2013 | 133 | 63 | 47\% | 70 | 53\% |
| 2013/2014 | 149 | 82 | 55\% | 67 | 45\% |
| 2014/2015 | 144 | 79 | 55\% | 65 | 45\% |

Figure 2.6: Percentage share of Males versus Females at Private Primary Schools


Table 2.7: Enrolment in Primary Schools by Sex

|  | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL | Enrolment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { No. of } \\ \text { Boys } \end{array}$ | Percentage of boys 12/13 | No. of Girls | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of girls } \\ 12 / 13 \end{gathered}$ | Enrolment | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { No. of } \\ \text { Boys } \end{array}$ | Percentage <br> of boys <br> $13 / 14$ | No. of Girls | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of girls } \\ 13 / 14 \end{array}$ | Enrolment | No. of Boys | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of boys } \\ 14 / 115 \end{array}$ | No. of Girls | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of girls } \\ 14 / 15 \end{array}$ |
| Central Christian | 105 | 49 | 47\% | 56 | 53\% | 89 | 44 | 49\% | 45 | 51\% | 91 | 48 | 53\% | 43 | 47\% |
| Vivien Vanterpool | 110 | 56 | 51\% | 54 | 49\% | 90 | 42 | 47\% | 48 | 53\% | 102 | 49 | 48\% | 53 | 52\% |
| Orealia Kelly | 332 | 168 | 51\% | 164 | 49\% | 327 | 172 | 53\% | 155 | 47\% | 320 | 153 | 48\% | 167 | 52\% |
| Valley | 429 | 215 | 50\% | 214 | 50\% | 436 | 218 | 50\% | 218 | 50\% | 441 | 217 | 49\% | 224 | 51\% |
| Alwyn Alison | 149 | 83 | 56\% | 66 | 44\% | 149 | 86 | 58\% | 63 | 42\% | 149 | 78 | 52\% | 71 | 48\% |
| Adrian T Hazell | 289 | 147 | 51\% | 142 | 49\% | 283 | 138 | 49\% | 145 | 51\% | 281 | 144 | 51\% | 137 | 49\% |
| Omololu International | 28 | 14 | 50\% | 14 | 50\% | 60 | 38 | 63\% | 22 | 37\% | 53 | 31 | 58\% | 22 | 42\% |
| Morris Vanterpool | 108 | 63 | 58\% | 45 | 42\% | 97 | 53 | 55\% | 44 | 45\% | 96 | 52 | 54\% | 44 | 46\% |
| National | 1550 | 795 | 52\% | 755 | 48\% | 1531 | 791 | 53\% | 740 | 47\% | 1533 | 772 | 52\% | 761 | 48\% |

Figure 2.7.1-: Percentage share of girls and boys in each Primary Schools 2014-2015


Figure 2.7.2-: Percentage share of boys in each Primary Schools 2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015


Figure 2.7.3-: Percentage share of girls in each Primary Schools 2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015


Table 2.8-: Enrollment in each grade for all Schools as at September 2014


## Primary Staffing (Public Schools)

For the 2014-2015 academic year, the Grade teachers for the Public Primary Schools totaled 80. The teacher/ pupil ratio nationally (on public schools only) was 1 to 17 which decreased from the previous year. It ranged from 1 to 20 at Orealia Kelly to 1 to 14 at Morris Vanterpool Primary. See Table 2.9 \& Figure 2.9 for more information.

Of the 80 Grade Teachers, 73\% (58 teachers) were certificated teachers, 3\% (2 teachers) completed the training course but did not pass the exams for all subjects and therefore remained uncertified, and $25 \%$ ( 20 teachers) were untrained (see Table 2.10).

The school with the highest percentage of trained teachers was Adrian T. Hazell Primary with $94 \%$ (16 out of 17 teachers) followed by Alwyn Allison Primary with $78 \%$ (7 out of 9 teachers), while the schools with the lowest percentage were Morris Vanterpool and Vivien Vanterpool both with $43 \%$ ( 4 out of 7 teachers). More information on staff deployment is presented in Table 2.10 and Figure 2.10.1.

Table 2.11 shows the total number of persons on staff at the public primary level for 2014-2015, which was 127. This recorded an increase of 3 persons over the previous year.

Table 2.9: Public Primary Schools Pupil/Teacher Ratio

|  | 2010-2011 |  |  | 2011-2012 |  |  | 2012-2013 |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | No. of <br> Students | No. of Class <br> Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio 10/11 | No. of <br> Students | No. of Class Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio 11/12 | No. of <br> Students | No. of Class Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio 12/13 | No. of <br> Students | No. of <br> Class <br> Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio 13/14 | No. of <br> Students | No. of Class <br> Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio 14/15 |
| Vivien Vanterpool | 108 | 7 | 15 | 112 | 7 | 16 | 110 | 7 | 16 | 90 | 7 | 13 | 102 | 7 | 15 |
| Adrian T. Hazell | 302 | 15 | 20 | 305 | 16 | 19 | 289 | 16 | 18 | 283 | 16 | 18 | 281 | 17 | 17 |
| Morris Vanterpool | 123 | 7 | 18 | 122 | 1 | 17 | 108 | 7 | 15 | 97 | 7 | 14 | 96 | 7 | 14 |
| AlwynAlison | 131 | 7 | 19 | 143 | 8 | 18 | 149 | 8 | 19 | 149 | 8 | 19 | 143 | 9 | 16 |
| Valley | 430 | 21 | 20 | 429 | 22 | 20 | 429 | 23 | 19 | 436 | 23 | 19 | 441 | 24 | 18 |
| Orealia Kelly | 366 | 15 | 24 | 349 | 16 | 22 | 332 | 16 | 21 | 327 | 16 | 20 | 320 | 16 | 20 |
| Nationally | 1460 | 72 | 20 | 1460 | 76 | 19 | 1417 | 77 | 18 | 1382 | 77 | 18 | 1383 | 80 | 17 |

Figure 2.9: Showing Public Primary Schools Pupil/Teacher ratio


Table 2.10: Numbers of trained and untrained teachers in each school 2013-2014 and 2014-2015

|  | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> Name | Total <br> Number <br> of Class <br> Teachers | Number of trained certificated teachers | Percentage of trained certificated teachers | Number of trained uncertificated teachers | Percentage of trained uncertificat ed teachers | Number of untrained teachers | Percentage of untrained teachers | Total <br> Number <br> of Class <br> Teachers | Number of trained certificated teachers | Percentage of trained certificated teachers | Number of trained uncertificat ed teachers | Percentage of trained uncertificat ed teachers | Number of untrained teachers | Percentage of untrained teachers |
| Vivien Vanterpool | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 43\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 43\% |
| Morris Vanterpool | 7 | 3 | 43\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 57\% | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 43\% |
| Orealia Kelly | 16 | 13 | 81\% | 1 | 6\% | 2 | 13\% | 16 | 10 | 63\% | 1 | 6\% | 5 | 31\% |
| Adrian T. <br> Hazell | 16 | 14 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 13\% | 17 | 16 | 94\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 6\% |
| Valley | 23 | 15 | 65\% | 1 | 4\% | 7 | 30\% | 24 | 17 | 71\% | 1 | 4\% | 6 | 25\% |
| Alwyn <br> Alison | 8 | 5 | 63\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 38\% | 9 | 7 | 78\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 22\% |
| NATIONAL | 77 | 54 | 70\% | 2 | 3\% | 21 | 27\% | 80 | 58 | 73\% | 2 | 3\% | 20 | 25\% |

Figure 2.10.1: Showing percentage of trained certificated teachers in each school 2014-2015


Figure 2.10.2: Showing percentage of trained certificated teachers in each school 2013-2014


Table 2.11: Showing Staff at the Public Primary Level 2014-2015

| Position | Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principals | 6 |  |
| Deputy Principals (also class teachers) | 6 |  |
| Class Teachers |  | Percentage |
| Trained certificated Teachers (including Deputies) | 58 | 73\% |
| Trained uncertificated Teachers | 2 | 3\% |
| Untrained Teachers | 20 | 25\% |
| Total Teachers | 80 | 100\% |
| Guidance Counsellors | 3 |  |
| Teaching Assistants | 13 |  |
| Pupil Assistants | 3 |  |
| Physical Education | 3 |  |
| Art and Craft | 3 |  |
| Music | 3 |  |
| ICT | 3 |  |
| Speech Therapist | 1 |  |
| ESL | 1 |  |
| Spanish | 1 |  |
| Remedial Reading | 3 |  |
| Reading Recovery | 2 |  |
| Maintenance | 2 |  |
| Custodians | 6 |  |
| Total Staff | 127 |  |

[^0]
## Primary Attendance

Attendance for the year (92.02\%) fell below the National target of $95 \%$ for the primary level. This was the third lowest attendance recorded over six years. For 2013-2014 attendance was 93.48\%, 2012-2013 attendance was 92.59\%, 2011-2012 attendance was 91.25\%, 2010-2011 attendance was $92.35 \%$ and for 2009-2010, attendance was $90.80 \%$.

The term with the highest attendance was Term 1 with an average of $94.67 \%$, while the term recording the lowest attendance was Term 2 with an average attendance of $90.78 \%$.

The school with the highest level of attendance was Morris Vanterpool Primary with $93.33 \%$, while the school registering the lowest attendance was Alwyn Allison R Primary School (90.00\%).

Table 2.12: TOTAL PUPLIL ATTENDANCE - PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| FOR MICHAELMAS 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ROLL | NO. OF <br> SESSIONS | TOTAL <br> ATTENDANCE | AVERAGE |  | PERCENTAGE | SCHOOL | 279 | 120 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

Education Department - Target Pupil Attendance is 95\%

Table 2.13: TOTAL PUPLIL ATTENDANCE - PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| FOR LENTEN 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL | ROLL | NO. OF SESSIONS | TOTAL ATTENDANCE | AVERAGE | PERCENTAGE |
| Adrian T. Hazell Primary | 285 | 111 | 28489 | 257 | 90.94 |
| Valley Primary | 442 | 109 | 43287 | 397 | 90.08 |
| Morris Vanterpool Primary | 96 | 107 | 9451 | 88 | 92.00 |
| Orelia Kelly Primary | 321 | 114 | 33073 | 290 | 90.60 |
| Alwyn Alison Primary | 151 | 112 | 14790 | 132 | 89.10 |
| Vivien Vanterpool Primary | 100 | 112 | 10297 | 92 | 91.98 |
| National Average for Lenten term | 233 | 111 | 23231 | 209 | 90.78 |
| TOTAL ENROLMENT | 1395 |  |  |  |  |

Education Department - Target Pupil Attendance is 95\%

Table 2.14: TOTAL PUPLIL ATTENDANCE - PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| FOR TRINITY 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL | ROLL | NO. OF SESSIONS | TOTAL ATTENDANCE | AVERAGE | PERCENTAGE |
| Adrian T. Hazell Primary | 283 | 96 | 25071 | 254 | 91.95 |
| Valley Primary | 439 | 94 | 38019 | 385 | 92.09 |
| Morris Vanterpool Primary | 98 | 96 | 8728 | 87 | 92.48 |
| Orelia Kelly Primary | 324 | 98 | 28642 | 278 | 90.45 |
| Alwyn Alison Primary | 150 | 98 | 12964 | 126 | 87.49 |
| Vivien Vanterpool Primary | 100 | 98 | 8811 | 85 | 89.16 |
| National Average for Trinity term | 232 | 97 | 20373 | 203 | 90.60 |
| TOTAL ENROLMENT | 1394 |  |  |  |  |

Education Department - Target Pupil Attendance is 95\%

Table 2.15: OVERALL PUPIL ATTENDANCE - PRIMARY SCHOOLS

| 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SCHOOL | ROLL | NO. OF SESSIONS | TOTAL ATTENDANCE | AVERAGE | PERCENTAGE |
| Adrian T. Hazell Primary | 847 | 327 | 83307 | 253 | 92.01 |
| Valley Primary | 1321 | 331 | 134013 | 398 | 91.88 |
| Morris Vanterpool Primary | 291 | 331 | 29975 | 89 | 93.33 |
| Orelia Kelly Primary | 966 | 340 | 100901 | 291 | 92.18 |
| Alwyn Alison Primary | 445 | 338 | 44953 | 131 | 90.00 |
| Vivien Vanterpool Primary | 301 | 338 | 31704 | 92 | 92.72 |
| Overall National Average | 695 | 334 | 70809 | 209 | 92.02 |
| TOTAL ENROLMENT | 4171 |  |  |  |  |

Education Department - Target Pupil Attendance is 95\%

## Academic Performance

## Reading

The reading results for this academic year revealed that in Grade 6, 93\% of the students were functionally literate ( $3 \%$ up from last year) while $68 \%$ of the students were reading at their chronological age and above. This showed a $11 \%$ increase over the previous year's performance of $58 \%$.

In Grade 5, functional literacy was at $85 \%$ which increased by $3 \%$ over the previous year. The number of students reading at their chronological age and above however, decreased by $2 \%$ with ( $56 \%$ compared with $58 \%$ for the 2013-2014 academic year).

In Grade 4,the results revealed that $65 \%$ of the students are functional literate (an increase of $2 \%$ from the previous year) and $44 \%$ of the students reading at Chronological age and above. This showed a decline of $1 \%$ over the previous year.

At Grade 3, there was a decrease over the previous year in functional literacy which recorded $46 \%$ compared with $53 \%$. However, the number of students reading at chronological age and above (52\%) increased by $4 \%$ over the previous year.

Table 2.20 gives a five-year breakdown of the national reading results by grades during 20112015.

Figure 2.16: GRADE 6 READING TEST RESULTS




Figure 2.19: GRADE 3 READING TEST RESULTS


TABLE 2.20: GRADES 3, 4, 5 \& 6: NATIONAL READING RESULTS OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

| GRADE | Gender | Total Numberof fsudents whosat Examination |  |  |  |  | Numberof Students Readingat Chronological Age and Above |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Sudents Pertorming at Chronological Age and dove |  |  |  |  | Number of Sudents FUnctionally literate( Reading Age 9.0) |  |  |  |  | Percentage of ftudents Functionally literate (Reading Age$9.0)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| THREE | Femades | 111 | 105 | 111 | 95 | 105 | 60 | 58 | 67 | 56 | 56 | 54\% | 55\% | 60\% | 59\% | 53\% | 58 | 53 | 64 | 52 | 49 | 5\% | 50\% | 58\% | 55\% | 47\% |
|  | Males | 114 | 120 | 95 | 101 | 104 | 45 | 42 | 43 | 39 | 52 | 39\% | 35\% | 45\% | 39\% | 50\% | 42 | 40 | 40 | 34 | 47 | 37\% | 33\% | 42\% | 34\% | 45\% |
|  | Total | 225 | 225 | 206 | 196 | 209 | 105 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 108 | 47\% | 44\% | 53\% | 48\% | 5\%\% | 100 | 93 | 104 | 86 | 96 | 4\% | 41\% | 50\% | 44\% | 46\% |
| FOUR | Females | 108 | 92 | 91 | 103 | 84 | 53 | 43 | 50 | 53 | 43 | 49\% | 47\% | 55\% | 51\% | 51\% | 74 | 62 | 64 | 72 | 59 | 69\% | 67\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% |
|  | Males | 112 | 108 | 101 | 89 | 83 | 35 | 41 | 37 | 33 | 30 | 31\% | 38\% | 37\% | 37\% | 36\% | 67 | 58 | 54 | 49 | 50 | 60\% | 54\% | 53\% | 55\% | 60\% |
|  | Total | 220 | 200 | 192 | 192 | 167 | 88 | 84 | 87 | 86 | 73 | 40\% | 42\% | 45\% | 45\% | 44\% | 141 | 120 | 118 | 121 | 109 | 64\% | 60\% | 61\% | 63\% | 65\% |
|  | Females | 119 | 121 | 102 | 99 | 109 | 59 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 75 | 50\% | 54\% | 63\% | 65\% | 69\% | 98 | 98 | 90 | 86 | 97 | 82\% | 81\% | 88\% | 8\% | 89\% |
|  | Males | 125 | 113 | 112 | 97 | 97 | 51 | 43 | 47 | 50 | 41 | 41\% | 38\% | 42\% | 5\% | 42\% | 96 | 78 | 86 | 75 | 78 | 77\% | 69\% | 77\% | 77\% | 80\% |
| FVE | Total | 244 | 234 | 214 | 196 | 206 | 110 | 108 | 111 | 114 | 116 | 45\% | 46\% | 5\% | 5\%\% | 56\% | 194 | 176 | 176 | 161 | 175 | 80\% | 75\% | 82\% | 82\% | 85\% |
|  | Females | 102 | 121 | 121 | 100 | 96 | 61 | 80 | 75 | 64 | 74 | 60\% | 60\% | 62\% | 64\% | 7\%\% | 92 | 109 | 110 | 96 | 93 | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% | 96\% | 97\% |
|  | Males | 121 | 124 | 111 | 111 | 93 | 49 | 62 | 63 | 56 | 55 | 40\% | 50\% | 57\% | 50\% | 59\% | 92 | 102 | 92 | 93 | 83 | 76\% | 82\% | 83\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| SIX | Total | 223 | 245 | 232 | 211 | 189 | 110 | 142 | 138 | 120 | 129 | 49\% | 58\% | 59\% | 5\% | 68\% | 184 | 211 | 202 | 189 | 176 | 83\% | 86\% | 87\% $\quad 90 \%$ 93\% |  |  |

## Grade 4 Standardised Test Results

Each year the Department of Education (DOE) usually administers three standardised tests (Numeracy, Literacy, and ICT) to Grade 4 students since Test of Standards is not done in this grade. However, as the content of the standardised tests does not match the revised curriculum new examinations are being introduced beginning with Literacy. The other subject areas will follow suit.

In Numeracy, the percentage of students performing at or above their chronological age performance (36.4\%) has shown a slight increase of 2.7\% over the previous year, which had registered $33.7 \%$.

Literacy performance at or above the $50 \%$ Standard showed that $50.3 \%$ of the students met the criteria. Female performed better than males by $26.9 \%$. Females recorded $63.5 \%$ ( 54 out of 85 students) and males $36.6 \%$ ( 30 out of the 82 students).

No ICT examination was administered during this academic period however, there is still information provided on the previous year's examinations. Last year DOE implemented a new ICT curriculum. Due to this, the DOE made the decision to forego the Grade 4 test until the new assessment can be put in place.

Table 2.28 gives a breakdown of data relating to Grade 4 Standardised test results and Table 2.29 gives a breakdown of the national results.



Figure 2.23: Percentage of Students Performing at or above Standard (50\%) in Literacy 2015


Figure 2.24: Showing percentage of students performing at Chronological Age and above in Literacy by school 2010-2014


Figure 2.25: Percentage Nationally of students performing at or above their chronological age and above in Literacy 2010-2014


Figure 2.26: Showing percentage of students performing at Chronological Age and above in ICT by school 2010-2014


Figure 2.27: Percentage Nationally of students performing at or above their chronological age and above in ICT 2010-2014


TABLE 2.28: GRADE 4: STANDARDISED RESULTS FOR OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

| Subject | Gender | Total Number of Students who sat Examination |  |  |  |  | Number of Students Performing at Chronological Age and above |  |  |  |  | Percentage of Students Performing at Chronological Age and above |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Numeracy } \\ \text { (Mathematics): } \\ \text { National } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Females | 107 | 88 | 90 | 97 | 83 | 32 | 48 | 40 | 33 | 33 | 29.9\% | 54.5\% | 44.4\% | 34.0\% | 39.8\% |
|  | Males | 110 | 100 | 97 | 90 | 82 | 33 | 44 | 36 | 30 | 27 | 30.0\% | 44.0\% | 37.1\% | 33.3\% | 32.9\% |
|  | Total | 217 | 188 | 187 | 187 | 165 | 65 | 92 | 76 | 63 | 60 | 30.0\% | 48.9\% | 40.6\% | 33.7\% | 36.4\% |
| Literay: <br> National | Females | 107 | 88 | 90 | 97 |  | 84 | 60 | 60 | 61 |  | 78.5\% | 68.2\% | 66.7\% | 62.9\% |  |
|  | Males | 110 | 100 | 97 | 90 |  | 61 | 56 | 37 | 44 |  | 55.5\% | 56.0\% | 38.1\% | 48.9\% |  |
|  | Total | 217 | 188 | 187 | 187 |  | 145 | 116 | 97 | 105 |  | 66.8\% | 61.7\% | 51.9\% | 56.1\% |  |
| ICT:National | Females | 103 | 89 | 88 | 98 |  | 50 | 47 | 50 | 44 |  | 48.5\% | 52.8\% | 56.8\% | 44.9\% |  |
|  | Males | 103 | 104 | 97 | 88 |  | 36 | 52 | 36 | 37 |  | 35.0\% | 50.0\% | 37.1\% | 42.0\% |  |
|  | Total | 206 | 193 | 185 | 186 |  | 86 | 99 | 86 | 81 |  | 41.7\% | 51.3\% | 46.5\% | 43.5\% |  |

TABLE 2.29: GRADE 4: NATIONAL LITERACY RESULTS FOR 2015

| Subject | Gender | Total Number of Students who sat Examination | Number of Students Performing at or above Standard (50\%) | Percentage of Students Performing at or above Standard (50\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 |
|  | Females | 85 | 54 | 63.5\% |
|  | Males | 82 | 30 | 36.6\% |
| Literacy: <br> National | Total | 167 | 84 | 50.3\% |

## Test of Standards Results

The Department of Education sets yearly targets based upon our 2010-2015 Education Development Plan (EDP). The 2015 projected targets for $50 \%$ and above in the four core areas tested were as follows: Language Arts 75\%, Mathematics 75\%, Social Studies 75\% and Science $75 \%$. The projected targets for $80 \%$ and above in the four core areas tested were Language Arts 20\%, Mathematics 20\%, Social Studies 20\% and Science 20\%.

The subject with the highest percentage of students meeting the standard at $80 \%$ in Grade 6 was Mathematics ( $21.0 \%$ ) and at $50 \%$ was Language with ( $75.9 \%$ ). The subject with the least number of students meeting the standards was Social Studies with (7.2\%) at 80\% and (54.4\%) at $50 \%$. Our $50 \%$ and above national target was met for Language. Our national target for $80 \%$ and above was met for Mathematics.

On the Grade 5 test, the National target for $50 \%$ and $80 \%$ and above were not met in any of the subject areas tested. The subject with the lowest performance was Social Studies with (48.5\%) scoring $50 \%$ and above and ( $2.6 \%$ ) scoring $80 \%$ and above. The subject with the highest number of students gaining $50 \%$ was Language with $64.3 \%$ of the students and the subject with the highest number of students gaining $80 \%$ was Mathematics with $8.7 \%$.

For Grade 3, the National target for $50 \%$ and $80 \%$ and above were not met in any of the subject areas tested. The subject with the lowest performance was Mathematics with ( $37.9 \%$ ) scoring $50 \%$ and above and ( $3.4 \%$ ) scoring $80 \%$ and above. The subject with the highest number of students gaining $50 \%$ was Science with $65.5 \%$ of the students and the subject with the highest number of students gaining $80 \%$ was also Science with $8.7 \%$.




|  |  | N GRADE 580\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2010 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 7.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 13.2 |
| 2011 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 5.0 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 15.9 |
| 2012 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 3.1 | 12.3 | 4.4 | 15.4 |
| 圆 2013 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 5.2 | 13.7 | 5.2 | 9.5 |
| 2014 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 8.0 | 12.6 | 9.5 | 11.1 |
| 2015 meeting standard ( $80 \%$ and above) | 4.1 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 4.1 |

Figure 2.34: PERCENTAGE PUPILS IN GRADE 3



## Comparison of Boys' and Girls' Performance

A comparison of the performance of boys and girls reveal that girls performed better than the boys in all 4 subject areas.

At Grade 6, in Language Arts, 85.0\% of girls achieved $50 \%$ and above compared with $66.3 \%$ of the boys. In Mathematics there was $75.0 \%$ of the girls and $57.9 \%$ of the boys; Social Studies $64.0 \%$ of the girls and $44.2 \%$ of the boys and in Science $65.0 \%$ of the girls versus $52.6 \%$ of the boys (see Figures 2.38, 2.40 and 2.41). Males performance also, decreased over the previous year in all subject areas except Language Arts which increased by $6.5 \%$. Females performance improved in all subject areas. Language Arts increased by $1.4 \%$, Mathematics by $12 \%$, Social Studies and Science by 3.0\%. At this level, the targets set were met and exceeded by the girls in Language Arts and Mathematics while boys were unable to meet the required targets for $50 \%$ and above.

A similar pattern is revealed in Figures 2.39, 2.42 and 2.43 which shows the performance of boys and girls at $80 \%$ and above. The difference in performance is greatest in Language Arts with $22.0 \%$ of the girls scoring $80 \%$ and above compared with $9.5 \%$ of boys (difference of over $12.5 \%$ ). Mathematics followed with $26.0 \%$ of the girls scoring $80 \%$ and above compared with $15.8 \%$ of the boys. Social Studies followed with $8.0 \%$ of the girls scoring $80 \%$ and above compared with $6.3 \%$ of the boys. Science followed with $11.0 \%$ of the girls scoring $80 \%$ and above and the boys $9.5 \%$. The targets set were met and exceeded by girls in Language Arts and Mathematics. Grade 6 performance at $80 \%$ and above, however, revealed improvement in Social Studies for male performance over the previous year.

At Grade 5 in Language Arts, $72.6 \%$ of the girls achieved $50 \%$ and above compared with $54.4 \%$ of the boys. In Mathematics, the girls also performed better than the boys. The girls' performance was $56.6 \%$ compared to $44.4 \%$ for boys. In Science $60.4 \%$ of the girls compared to $53.3 \%$ boys, and in Social Studies $53.8 \%$ of the girls versus $42.2 \%$ of the boys (see Figures 2.44, 2.46 and 2.47). Male performance improved in Language Arts. However, none of the genders met the $50 \%$ and above target. Mathematics and Social Studies posed a challenge for both boys and girls. The lowest performance was recorded in those two subjects.

The results at $80 \%$ and above revealed (in Figure 2.45), that the Grade 5 boys performed better at $80 \%$ and above than the girls in Mathematics, Science and Social Studies. The difference in performance was greatest in Mathematics, where $12.2 \%$ of the boys scored $80 \%$ and above compared with $5.7 \%$ of the girls (difference of $6.5 \%$ ). In Science $5.6 \%$ of the boys and $2.8 \%$ of the girls scored $80 \%$ and above and in Social Studies $3.3 \%$ of the boys compared to $1.9 \%$ of the girls. In Language Arts $5.7 \%$ of the girls scored $80 \%$ and above compared with $2.2 \%$ of the boys. At the $80 \%$ and above target, both male and female performances decreased in all subjects areas tested except Mathematics for boys (see Figures 2.48 and 2.49). Neither males nor females met the target set for $80 \%$ and above.

An analysis of the performance in Grade 3 (see Figures 2.50, 2.52 and 2.53) reveal that $85.0 \%$ of the girls scored $50 \%$ and above in Language Arts compared to $55.1 \%$ of the boys, in Mathematics $75.0 \%$ (girls) compared to 40.8\% (boys), in Social Studies 64.0\% (girls) compared
to $60.2 \%$ (boys), and in Science $65.0 \%$ (girls) compared to $62.2 \%$ (boys). The girls met and/or exceeded the target at this level for Language Arts and Mathematics. The boys fell short of the target in all subject areas.

At $80 \%$ and above, $7.1 \%$ of the boys achieved this score for Language Arts compared to $6.5 \%$ of the girls and for Science ( $9.2 \%$ compared to $8.3 \%$ ). At this level, the girls performed better than the boys in Social Studies ( $9.3 \%$ compared to $4.1 \%$ ) and Mathematics ( $3.7 \%$ compared to $3.1 \%$ ). Neither males nor females met the target set for $80 \%$ and above. (See Figures 2.51, 2.54 and 2.55)


Figure 2.37: OVERALL PERCENTAGE MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2015


## Figure 2.38: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 6 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE 2015



Figure 2.39: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 6 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2015


Figure 2.40: PERCENTAGE OF MALES IN GRADE 6 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE





Figure 2.44: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 5 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE 2015


Figure 2.45: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 5 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2015




Figure 2.48: PERCENTAGE OF MALES IN GRADE 5 - MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2010-2015


Figure 2.49: PERCENTAGE OF FEMALES IN GRADE
 5 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2010-2015


Figure 2.50: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 3 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE 2015


Figure 2.51: PERCENTAGE OF MALES \& FEMALES IN GRADE 3 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 80\% AND ABOVE 2015


Figure 2.52: PERCENTAGE OF MALES IN GRADE 3 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE 2010-2015


Figure 2.53: PERCENTAGE OF FEMALES IN GRADE 3 MEETING THE STANDARDS OF 50\% AND ABOVE 2010-2015


Figure 2.54: PERCENTAGE OF MALES IN GRADE 3



TABLE 2.56: GRADE 6: TEST OF STANDARDS DATA BY AVERAGE \& STANDARD DEVIATION OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESCRPTION | Langugge <br> Atts | Mathem atics | Social <br> Studies | Science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ \text { Arts } \end{gathered}$ | Mathema tics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Studies } \end{aligned}$ | Science | Language <br> Atts | Mathema tics | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Social } \\ \text { Studies } \end{array}$ | Science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ \text { Atrs } \end{gathered}$ | Mathema tics | Social <br> Studies | Science | $\begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ \text { Atris } \end{gathered}$ | Mathema tics | Social <br> Studies | Science |
| Pubic P Private Average | 55.1 | 56.3 | 52.6 | 55.4 | 58.1 | 61.6 | 52.8 | 56.2 | 57.9 | 62.0 | 54.7 | 59.5 | 55.8 | 57.6 | 51.1 | 56.0 | 59.7 | 57.6 | 51.8 | 53.6 |
| Public\& PrivateSTDEV | 19.5 | 21.6 | 17.9 | 17.4 | 18.5 | 21.7 | 17.3 | 19.8 | 18.4 | 23.1 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 17.4 | 22.5 | 17.1 | 17.6 | 17.3 | 20.5 | 16.8 | 17.6 |
| Pubic\& Private FemalesAvg | 61.3 | 61.8 | 56.1 | 58.1 | 64.8 | 66.7 | 55.3 | 58.1 | 57.4 | 60.8 | 53.5 | 57.4 | 60.6 | 59.7 | 53.1 | 56.8 | 66.8 | 63.2 | 54.6 | 56.9 |
| Public\& Private Females STEV | 18.3 | 20.1 | 17.2 | 16.6 | 15.5 | 19.6 | 15.8 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 22.0 | 16.2 | 17.1 | 15.5 | 21.2 | 15.3 | 16.1 | 15.5 | 18.7 | 15.7 | 16.2 |
| Public\& Private Males Avg | 49.7 | 51.5 | 49.4 | 53.1 | 51.6 | 56.6 | 50.3 | 54.4 | 58.5 | 63.3 | 55.9 | 61.8 | 51.6 | 55.7 | 49.4 | 55.3 | 53.4 | 52.8 | 49.4 | 50.6 |
| Pubic\& Private Males STDEV | 18.9 | 21.8 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 19.0 | 22.6 | 18.3 | 21.3 | 20.1 | 24.3 | 19.0 | 19.3 | 18.1 | 23.6 | 18.3 | 19.0 | 16.5 | 20.9 | 17.4 | 18.4 |

Source: Department of Education

TABLE 2.57: GRADE 5: TEST OF STANDARDS DATA BY AVERAGE \& STANDARD DEVIATION OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

|  | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  | 20.4 |  |  |  | 20.5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESCRPTION | $\begin{gathered} \mid \text { Lancuage } \\ \text { Ats } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Sience | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Langupere } \\ \text { Arts } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scial } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Stience | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ \text { Ats } \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scial } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Stience |  |  | Social Studies | Science | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Language } \\ \text { Atrs } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mathema } \\ \text { tiss } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Social } \\ \text { Studies } \end{array}$ | Science |
| PublicePiviate Averge | 52.4 | 50.0 | 55.2 | 60.4 | 46.2 | 51.0 | 49.9 | 62.4 | 48.9 | 523 | 52.7 | 56.7 | 49.3 | 50.3 | 52.4 | 57.0 | 54.4 | 493 | 48.1 | 52.0 |
| Pubicrapivate SDEV | 18.4 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 183 | 2.3 | 18.5 | 17.1 | 17.5 | 20.4 | 17.9 | 17.1 | 19.3 | 22.2 | 18.5 | 17.8 | 16.3 | 20.8 | 16.1 | 17.1 |
| Pubic Privateemaes Avg | 56.8 | 53.7 | 56.6 | 6.9 | 50.8 | 53.9 | 51.9 | 63.3 | 55.1 | 53.8 | 55.1 | 57.3 | 54.0 | 51.9 | 53.9 | 59.0 | 58.4 | 51.0 | 49.4 | 52.9 |
| PublicePrivatefemaessoev | 16.9 | 19.9 | 17.0 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 20.8 | 17.4 | 15.5 | 16.8 | 19.9 | 16.9 | 16.0 | 18.8 | 220 | 18.2 | 17.2 | 14.4 | 18.8 | 14.2 | 15.6 |
| Pubic CPrivate NalesAlg | 48.0 | 4.3 | 53.8 | 58.9 | 41.2 | 47.9 | 47.8 | 61.4 | 43.3 | 50.9 | 50.5 | 56.1 | 4.2 | 48.6 | 50.9 | 549 | 49.7 | 47.3 | 465 | 50.9 |
| PublicPPiviate Malestoev | 18.9 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 17.6 | 18.9 | 2.5 | 19.4 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 20.8 | 18.6 | 18.0 | 18.6 | 223 | 18.8 | 183 | 17.2 | 23.0 | 18.1 | 18.7 |

Source: Department of Education

TABLE 2.58: GRADE 3: TEST OF STANDARDS DATA BY AVERAGE \& STANDARD DEVIATION OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

| DESCRPTION | 2011 |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  | 20.4 |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Language } \\ & \text { Atrs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mathem } \\ & \text { ditics } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { language } \\ & \text { Aits } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Science | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Language } \\ & \text { Atrs } \end{aligned}$ | Mathema tils | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Scial } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Science | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Langupge } \\ & \text { Atrs } \end{aligned}$ | Mathema tils | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Social } \\ & \text { Studes } \end{aligned}$ | Ssience |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nathema } \\ & \text { tils } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Social } \\ \text { Studes } \end{array}$ | Sience |
| Public\& Privite Areage | 61.8 | 4.7 | 54.2 | 58.5 | 59.0 | 4.6 | 50.2 | 58.1 | 59.2 | 47.7 | 53.5 | 58.6 | 57.3 | 45.2 | 4.5 | 56.9 | 52.6 | 43.0 | 51.8 | 56.1 |
| Pubic\&PivideStov | 17.7 | 19.5 | 17.6 | 16.2 | 19.1 | 2.9 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 17.3 | 2.4 | 18.3 | 17.7 | 193 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 19.4 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 20.3 |
| PublicePrivite FemlesAvg | 66.2 | 45.6 | 57.1 | 60.6 | 63.9 | 49.9 | 52.1 | 59.9 | 628 | 48.5 | 55.6 | 60.1 | 63.4 | 49.4 | 48.9 | 00.3 | 56.1 | 43.4 | 53.1 | 57.1 |
| Public\& Privit Femalessiov | 16.1 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 15.2 | 17.1 | 20.7 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 17.4 | 18.9 | 17.4 | 17.8 | 18.1 | 18.9 | 19.0 | 18.1 |
| PubicAPrivate MalesAlvg | 57.1 | 42.7 | 51.1 | 56.2 | 54.5 | 47.3 | 48.5 | 56.5 | 54.9 | 46.7 | 51.0 | 56.8 | 51.6 | 4.3 | 40.4 | 53.6 | 48.8 | 42.5 | 50.4 | 55.0 |
| PublicePrivit Mades STE/ | 18.2 | 20.1 | 183 | 16.9 | 19.8 | 23.0 | 19.6 | 21.2 | 18.4 | 23.4 | 19.6 | 193 | 194 | 20.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 20.3 | 21.2 | 20.5 | 2.6 |

Source: Department of Education

## CARIBBEAN PRIMARY EXIT ASSESSMENT (CPEA)

The Caribbean Primary Exit Assessment (CPEA) which is administered to Grade 6 students yearly was piloted during the 2011-2012 academic year in Anguilla. The CPEA is an assessment of the key literacies required by all pupils existing the primary school systems. During the pilot stage 227 candidates from the public schools sat this examination. For the 2014-2015, academic year 204 candidates sat the CPEA.

The examination totals 500 marks. According to Table 2.59 our highest score nationally for the reporting period was 476 (95\%) compared to 478 ( $96 \%$ ) in 2013-2014. The lowest score was 134 (27\%) which is higher than all the lowest scores (minimum scores) recorded. Standard deviation was 76.25 and the mean 316.98.

Table 2.60 shows our highest score nationally by gender for two academic years. From the data represented for 2014-2015, you would notice that females performed better than their male counterparts in terms of maximum and minimum scores on the test.

Table 2.61 shows a breakdown by age of the candidates when signed up to sit the examination. Based on the table, the majority of the students sitting the examination were of age 12 (52\%). A small percentage was of age 13 (1\%).

Table 2.62 shows that $80 \%$ of candidates obtained $50 \%$ and above. This is a $1 \%$ decrease over the previous year 2013-2014. However, the percentage of candidates obtaining $80 \%$ and above increased by $3 \%$ with $17 \%$ compared to $14 \%$.

Table 2.59: National CPEA Results for Anguilla

| Year | Standard <br> Deviation | Mean | Maximum <br> Score | Minimum <br> Score | Number of <br> Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012-2013 | 82.13 | 317.68 | 486 | 58 | 219 |
| 2013-2014 | 77.85 | 315.59 | 478 | 63 | 216 |
| 2014-2015 | 76.25 | 316.98 | 472 | 134 | 204 |

Table 2.60: National CPEA Results for Anguilla by Gender

| Year | Gender | Standard <br> Deviation | Mean | Maximum <br> Score | Minimum <br> Score | Number of Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{03^{3}}$ | M | 79.83 | 305.38 | 471 | 81 | 113 |
|  | F | 74.41 | 326.80 | 478 | 63 | 103 |
| $2^{00^{20}}$ | M | 76.28 | 302.57 | 470 | 134 | 101 |
|  | F | 73.89 | 331.11 | 472 | 173 | 103 |

Table 2.61: National CPEA Results by Age at Date of Registration for Anguilla

| Age | Year | Standard Deviation | Mean | Maximum Score | Minimum Score | Number of Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | $2^{22^{-22^{3}}}$ | 92.02 | 313.19 | 486 | 58 | 69 |
| 12 |  | 76.62 | 323.38 | 474 | 135 | 141 |
| 13 |  | 70.48 | 262.78 | 372 | 171 | 9 |
| 11 | $203^{20^{2}}$ | 67.87 | 323.60 | 471 | 174 | 72 |
| 12 |  | 79.84 | 317.87 | 478 | 63 | 129 |
| 13 |  | 96.22 | 261.50 | 397 | 81 | 12 |
| 14 |  | 28.58 | 242.00 | 275 | 225 | 3 |
| 11 | $202^{20^{5}}$ | 70.22 | 317.53 | 472 | 135 | 74 |
| 12 |  | 81.92 | 324.43 | 470 | 134 | 107 |
| 13 |  | 57.43 | 280.57 | 370 | 175 | 23 |

Table 2.62: National CPEA Results by students meeting the standard of $\mathbf{5 0 \%} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{8 0 \%}$ and above

| Year | Number of Candidates | Number of Candidate obtaining 50\% and above | Percentage of Candidate obtaining 50\% and above | Number of Candidate obtaining 80\% and above | Percentage of Candidate obtaining 80\% and above |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011-2012 | 227 | 173 | 76\% | 24 | 11\% |
| 2012-2013 | 219 | 170 | 78\% | 37 | 17\% |
| 2013-2014 | 216 | 176 | 81\% | 31 | 14\% |
| 2014-2015 | 204 | 163 | 80\% | 34 | 17\% |



## SECONDARY EDUCATION

## Student Enrollment

There is only one secondary school on the island, which is a comprehensive school with two campuses. Campus B houses students in Forms 1 and 2, while Campus A caters from students from Form 3 to Form 6.

The total enrollment at the school for the 2014-2015 academic year (See Table 3.1) was 1,257 students. This showed a increase of 51 students from the previous year's enrollment of 1,206 students. This increase is due to the enrolment in Forms 3, 4, 6A, 6B and WISE students being recorded separately.

The form level with the largest number of students was Form 3 with an enrollment of 235 students. This accounts for the third largest enrolment in the history of the secondary school. The form with the least enrollment was Form 5 with 178 students.

Five (5) students (4 boys) and ( 1 girl) were enrolled at PRU. Of the 1,257 students, a total of 36 students ( 28 boys and 8 girls) attended WISE.

Sixth Form accounted for 129 students, 65 in 6A, and 64 in 6B an increase of 14 students over previous year. There were 31 students in Tech Voc Sixth Form.

The ratio of boys to girls was approximately 1 to 1 , with boys accounting for $49 \%$ ( 620 students) and girls $51 \%$ ( 637 students) of the enrollment. The form level with the highest percentage of boys was Form 1 with $53 \%$ ( 114 out of 215 students). The lowest percentage of boys was recorded in Form 5 (see Table 3. 3).

Girls accounted for $59 \%$ ( 76 out of 129 students) of the 6A and 6B enrollment while 10 students out of the 31 students recorded in Tech Voc Sixth were girls.

Table 3.1: Enrollment 2005/2006-2014/2015 and Pupil/Teacher Ratio

| Year | Total <br> Enrollment | No. of <br> Teachers | Pupil/Teacher <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2005 / 2006$ | 1045 | 91 | 11 |
| $2006 / 2007$ | 1061 | 98 | 11 |
| $2007 / 2008$ | 1077 | 100 | 11 |
| $2008 / 2009$ | 1123 |  | 12 |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 1111 | 91 | 12 |
| $2010 / 2011$ | 1150 | 98 | 12 |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 1168 | 98 | 12 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 1207 | 101 | 12 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 1206 | 101 | 12 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 1257 | 106 |  |

Figure 3.1: Growth of Enrollment at the Secondary Level 2005/2006 to 2014/2015


Table 3.2: Percentage of Males and Females in Secondary School 2005/2006-2014/2015

| Year | Total Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of <br> Boys | No of Girls | Percentage of <br> Girls |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5 / 2 0 0 6}$ | 1045 | 489 | $47 \%$ | 556 | $53 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6 / 2 0 0 7}$ | 1061 | 509 | $48 \%$ | 552 | $52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 / 2 0 0 8}$ | 1077 | 529 | $49 \%$ | 548 | $51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 / 2 0 0 9}$ | 1123 | 559 | $50 \%$ | 564 | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 / 2 0 1 0}$ | 1111 | 539 | $49 \%$ | 572 | $51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 / 2 0 1 1}$ | 1150 | 556 | $48 \%$ | 594 | $52 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 / 2 0 1 2}$ | 1168 | 581 | $50 \%$ | 587 | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 1207 | 592 | $49 \%$ | 615 | $51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 1206 | 586 | $49 \%$ | 620 | $51 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 / 2 0 1 5}$ | 1257 | 620 | $49 \%$ | 637 | $51 \%$ |

Figure 3.2: Percentage share of Males and Females in Secondary School


Table 3.3: Form Groups reflecting enrollment by sex 2012-2013-2014-2015

|  | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Group | Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of boys $12 / 13$ | No of Girls | Percentage of girls 12/13 | Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of boys $12 / 13$ | No of Girls | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Percentage } \\ \text { of girls } \\ 13 / 14 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Enrolment | No of Boys | Percentage of boys 14/15 | No of Girls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percentage } \\ & \text { of girls } \\ & 14 / 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| FORM 1 | 240 | 121 | 50\% | 119 | 50\% | 238 | 113 | 47\% | 125 | 53\% | 215 | 114 | 53\% | 101 | 47\% |
| FORM 2 | 215 | 112 | 52\% | 103 | 48\% | 233 | 118 | 51\% | 115 | 49\% | 233 | 106 | 45\% | 127 | 55\% |
| FORM 3 | 198 | 91 | 46\% | 107 | 54\% | 212 | 112 | 53\% | 100 | 47\% | 235 | 119 | 51\% | 116 | 49\% |
| FORM 4 | 205 | 95 | 46\% | 110 | 54\% | 190 | 82 | 43\% | 108 | 57\% | 195 | 96 | 49\% | 99 | 51\% |
| FORM 5 | 206 | 98 | 48\% | 108 | 52\% | 194 | 91 | 47\% | 103 | 53\% | 178 | 79 | 44\% | 99 | 56\% |
| FORM 6A | 50 | 17 | 34\% | 33 | 66\% | 47 | 21 | 45\% | 26 | 55\% | 65 | 29 | 45\% | 36 | 55\% |
| FORM 6B | 62 | 30 | 48\% | 32 | 52\% | 68 | 30 | 44\% | 38 | 56\% | 64 | 24 | 38\% | 40 | 63\% |
| PRU | 12 | 11 | 92\% | 1 | 8\% | 9 | 8 | 89\% | 1 | 11\% | 5 | 4 | 80\% | 1 | 20\% |
| TECHVOC. | 19 | 17 | 89\% | 2 | 11\% | 15 | 11 | 73\% | 4 | 27\% | 31 | 21 | 68\% | 10 | 32\% |
| WISE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 | 28 | 78\% | 8 | 22\% |
| TOTAL | 1207 | 592 | 49\% | 615 | 51\% | 1206 | 586 | 49\% | 620 | 51\% | 1257 | 620 | 49\% | 637 | 51\% |

Figure 3.3.1: Secondary Enrollment by Sex 2014-2015


Figure 3.3.2: Secondary Enrollment by Sex 2012-2013, 2013-2014, and 2014-2015


## Staffing Secondary

For the 2014-2015 academic year, the number of staff at the secondary totaled 128, which is an increase of 5 staff from the previous year. The subject teachers at the secondary school totalled 106 teachers. Of the 106 teachers, $66 \%$ ( 70 teachers) were teacher trained. Fifty-eight percent (58\%) 61 were trained graduates, $3 \%$ (3) represented specialist trained, technical trained and certificated training respectively. $4 \%$ (4) of the teaching force at secondary are uncertificated.

Table 3.4: Showing Staff at the Secondary Level 20142015

| Position | Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Principal | 1 |  |
| Deputy Principals | 3 |  |
| TVET Coordinator | 1 |  |
| WISE Coordinator | 1 |  |
| PRU Coordinator | 1 |  |
| Teachers |  | Percentage |
| Graduate Trained | 61 | 58\% |
| Graduate Untrained | 29 | 27\% |
| Specialist Trained | 3 | 3\% |
| Specialist Untrained | 1 | 1\% |
| Technical Trained | 3 | 3\% |
| Technical Untrained | 2 | 2\% |
| Certificated | 3 | 3\% |
| Uncertificated (untrained) | 4 | 4\% |
| Teachers Trained | 70 | 66\% |
| Total Teachers | 106 | 100\% |
| Guidance Counsellors | 4 |  |
| Teaching Assistants | 6 |  |
| Lab Technicians | 2 |  |
| Steel Pan Instructor | 1 |  |
| Custodian | 2 |  |
| Total Staff | 128 |  |

Figure 3.4: Showing Qualification of Teachers at the Secondary Level 2014-2015


## Student Suspensions

For the 2014-2015 academic year, the Department of Education was in receipt of a total of 15 suspension letters, a decrease from the previous year's total of 16.

The largest number of suspensions (7) occurred during Term 3, while the term with the least number recorded was Term 1 with only 2 suspensions.

The most common offence for which students were suspended was disrespect to Adults (7 suspensions), fighting/physical assault ( 5 suspensions followed by disturbance of peace (2 suspensions and damage of property (1 suspension). No student was recommended for expulsion.

The form which had the largest number of suspensions recorded was Form 4 (11 suspensions). Forms 1, 2 and 3 recorded 1 suspension each. No suspensions were recorded in Forms 5 and 6.

Nine (9) suspensions were issued to boys and six (6) to girls.

Table 3.5: Secondary Suspensions for academic year 2014-2015

| TERM | Recommended <br> Withdrawals | Fighting/ <br> Physical <br> Assault | Disrespect <br> to Adults | Disturbance <br> of Peace | Damage to <br> Property | Ilegal <br> Activities/ | Posses <br> sion of <br> a <br> weapon | Loiterin <br> $\mathbf{g}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Term1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 2015 Term 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 2015 Term 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |

Figure 3.5: ALHCS Suspensions for academic year 2014-2015 (Types of Offences)


Table 3.6: Secondary Suspensions by form and sex for academic year 2014-2015

| Period | Form1 | Form2 | Form3 | Form 4 | Form 5 | Form6 | Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 Term1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2015 Term2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| 2015 Term3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 |
| 2014-2015 Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 15 |

Figure 3.6.1: Secondary Suspensions by form over seven academic years


Figure 3.6.2: Suspensions by Term for academic year 2014-2015


Figure 3.6.3: Suspensions by Gender over seven academic years


Figure 3.6.4: Suspensions in Term 1 over seven academic years


Figure 3.6.5: Suspensions in Term 2 over seven academic years


Figure 3.6.6: Suspensions in Term 3 over seven academic years


## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

## Performance on Standardised Reading Test

A standardized reading test is administered annually by the Department of Education to students in Forms 3 and 5 at the secondary school.

The results for the 2014-2015 academic year revealed that $93.6 \%$ of the students in Form 3 were functionally literate. This showed a slight decrease of ( $0.4 \%$ ) over the previous year results which recorded $94.0 \%$ functional literacy. Looking closely at Table 3.7 you would notice that both male performance increased over the previous year while female performance declined by 1.6\%.

An analysis of the Form 5 results in Figure 3.8 showed $97.2 \%$ functional Literacy among students at that level. A comparison of the results for the same students when they were in Form 3 showed that the number of students who were functionally literate increased in Form 5 by $7.8 \%$. This showed an increased over the previous year (see Figure 3.8.1 and Table 3.8.1).


Table 3.7: FORM 3 READING TEST RESULTS BY GENDER OVER A FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015

| National Performance Level | Form 3: February 2011 |  |  | Form 3: February 2012 |  |  | Form 3: February 2013 |  |  | Form 3: February 2014 |  |  | Form 3: February 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (3.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7 \\ (6.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (5.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5 \\ (5.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (10.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ (7.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (3.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 9 (8.8\%) | 12 (6.1\%) | 7 (6.2\%) | 6 (5.9\%) | 13 (6.0\%) | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (9.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (13.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (11.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (11.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (9.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (10.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (4.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 17 \\ (15.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (10.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 11 (10.8\%) | 16 (8.1\%) | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (7.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 11 (10.8\%) | 19 (8.8\%) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 27 \\ (22.3 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (20.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50 \\ (21.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (14.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (23.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ (18.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \\ (18.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ (23.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43 \\ (20.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (20.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 19 (18.6\%) | 39 (19.7\%) | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (23.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 28 (27.5\%) | 55 (25.6\%) | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 35 \\ (28.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 35 \\ (31.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (30.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (14.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 32 \\ (28.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ (25.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (33.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (24.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ (28.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (27.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 33 (32.4\%) | 59 (29.8\%) | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ (23.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 35 (34.3\%) | 61 (28.4\%) | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (19.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ (19.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45 \\ (19.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (35.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (24.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 65 \\ (29.8 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \\ (28.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ (18.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 48 \\ (23.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (30.2 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 22 (21.6\%) | 51 (25.8\%) | $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (30.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 20 (19.6\%) | 54 (25.1\%) | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14 \\ (11.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (11.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ (11.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \\ (13.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (8.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ (10.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (12.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ (8.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \\ (10.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \\ (13.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 8 (7.8\%) | 21 (10.6\%) | 11 (9.7\%) | 2 (2.0\%) | 13 (6.0\%) | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ (9.1 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4 \\ (3.6 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \\ (6.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
| Functional Literacy | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ (86.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 104 \\ (92.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 195 \\ (89.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 88 \\ (88.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ (91.7 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 187 \\ (89.9 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 83 \\ (84.5 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 94 (92.2\%) | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (89.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 102 \\ (90.3 \%) \end{gathered}$ | 100 (98.0\%) | $\begin{gathered} 202 \\ (94.0 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 110 \\ (90.9 \%) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 108 \\ (96.4 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 218 \\ \text { (93.6\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Number of Students | 105 | 113 | 218 | 100 | 108 | 208 | 96 | 102 | 198 | 113 | 102 | 215 | 121 | 112 | 233 |

M- Male $\quad$ F-Female $\quad$ T- Total

Figure 3.8: FORM 5 READING TEST RESULTS


Figure 3.8.1: COMPARING SAME STUDENTS PROGRESS IN READING RESULTS IN FORM 3 AND FORM 5


Table 3.8.1: COMPARING SAME STUDENTS PROGRESS IN READING RESULTS IN FORM 3 AND FORM 5 BY GENDER OVER A FOUR YEAR PERIOD 2012-2015

|  | Form 3: February 2012 |  |  | Form 5: February 2014 |  |  | Form 3: February 2013 |  |  | Form 5: February 2015 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T | M | F | T |
| 7 (43-45) | $5(5.0 \%)$ | 11 (10.2\%) | 16 (7.7\%) | 11 (12.0\%) | 22 (22.0\%) | 33 (17.2\%) | 3 (3.1\%) | 9 (8.8\%) | 12 (6.1\%) | 18 (20.5\%) | 25 (27.2\%) | 43 (23.9\%) |
| 6 (40-42) | $4(4.0 \%)$ | 17 (15.7\%) | 21 (10.1\%) | 19 (20.7\%) | 22 (22.0\%) | 41 (21.4\%) | 5 (5.2\%) | 11 (10.8\%) | 16 (8.1\%) | 27 (30.7\%) | 26 (28.3\%) | 53 (29.4\%) |
| 5 (36-39) | 18 (18.0\%) | 25 (23.1\%) | 43 (20.7\%) | 21 (22.8\%) | 20 (20.0\%) | 41 (21.4\%) | 20 (20.8\%) | 19 (18.6\%) | 39 (19.7\%) | 20 (22.7\%) | 23 (25.0\%) | 43 (23.9\%) |
| 4 (30-35) | 33 (33.0\%) | 26 (24.1\%) | 59 (28.4\%) | 19 (20.7\%) | 22 (22.0\%) | 41 (21.4\%) | 26 (27.1\%) | 33 (32.4\%) | 59 (29.8\%) | 17 (19.3\%) | 13 (14.1\%) | 30 (16.7\%) |
| 3 (20-29) | 28 (28.0\%) | 20 (18.5\%) | 48 (23.1\%) | 17 (18.5\%) | 12 (12.0\%) | 29 (15.1\%) | 29 (30.2\%) | 22 (21.6\%) | 51 (25.8\%) | 3 (3.4\%) | 3 (3.3\%) | 6 (3.3\%) |
| 2 (0-19) | 12 (12.0\%) | 9 (8.3\%) | 21 (10.1\%) | 5 (5.4\%) | $2(2.0 \%)$ | 7 (3.6\%) | 13 (13.5\%) | 8 (7.8\%) | 21 (10.6\%) | 3 (3.4\%) | 2 (2.2\%) | 5 (2.8\%) |
| Functional Literacy | 88 (88.0\%) | 99 (91.7\%) | 187 (89.9\%) | 87 (94.6\%) | 98 (98.0\%) | 185 (96.4\%) | 83 (84.5\%) | 94 (92.2\%) | 177 (89.4\%) | 85 (96.6\%) | 90 (97.8\%) | 175 (97.2\%) |
| Students at <br>  <br> above |  |  |  | 51 (55.4\%) | 63 (63.0\%) | 115 (59.9\%) |  |  |  | 65 (73.9\%) | 74 (80.4\%) | 139 (77.2\%) |
| Total Number of Students | 100 | 108 | 208 | 92 | 100 | 192 | 96 | 102 | 198 | 88 | 92 | 180 |

## PERFORMANCE ON CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)

Students at the Albena Lake -Hodge Comprehensive School this year registered an increase in the number of candidate entries but a decrease in subject entries for the Caribbean Certificate of Secondary Level Competence (CCSLC) programme. A total of 667 candidates were entered with 959 subject entries with 930 of those entries completed. In 2014 there were 601 candidate entries and 971 subject entries with 936 entries completed.

The overall performance of candidates was however, satisfactory. There was an increase in the number of entries achieving mastery level. This year $34 \%$ of the subject entries achieved the level of mastery. In 2014, 32\% of the subject entries achieved the level of mastery, compared with 34\% in 2013, 32\% in 2012, and 30\% in 2011.

## Comparison by Year Group

In Form 2, one hundred and eight-nine (189) students wrote Science. This was the only subject written at this level. Fifty-nine (59) of them (31\%) achieved Mastery and 114 (60\%) achieved Competent (see Table 3.9.3).

Form 3 registered the highest performance of the four (4) year groups, 49\% of the entries achieved Mastery. In Mathematics, 49 out of 64 students (77\%) achieved Mastery. The subject with the highest number of entries was Social Studies with 120 students. Sixty-seven percent ( $67 \%$ ) of them achieved Mastery. and $31 \%$ achieved Competency. This was the only form where there were subject entries for Spanish (15) and French (26). 80\% gained Competent for Spanish with $20 \%$ developing competence. For French $58 \%$ of the entries gained competent. No one achieved Mastery.

In Form 4 of the 241 subject entries, 92 (38\%) gained Mastery (see Table 3.9.5). The subject with the highest level of Mastery was English (61\%). Nineteen percent (19\%) of the students scored mastery in Mathematics and $56 \%$ in Social Studies. No one scored at the Mastery level in Science. In Form 4, 114 students wrote English, 90 Mathematics, 28 Science and 9 Social Studies.

Form 5 registered the lowest performance of the 4 year groups, with $12 \%$ of the entries achieved Mastery level. This form recorded the highest performance for competent with $61 \%$ overall.

Overall Female performance exceeded male performance $40.61 \%$ of the girls achieved Mastery compared with $25.52 \%$ of the boys (see Table 3.9.7).

Table 3.9: PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC) 2015

| SUBJECTS | Number <br> Sat | LEVEL OF COMPETENCE |  |  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence |
| English | 174 | 81 | 90 | 3 | $47 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 233 | 70 | 104 | 59 | $30 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 197 | 99 | 89 | 9 | $50 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Science | 285 | 62 | 146 | 77 | $22 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| French | 26 | 0 | 15 | 11 | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Spanish | 15 | 0 | 12 | 3 | $0 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 3.9.1: PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC) 2015


Figure 3.9.2: COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC) FOR 2011, 2012 ,2013, 2014 \& 2015 EXAMINATIONS


Table 3.9.3: FORM 2 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)

| SUBJECTS | Number <br> Sat | LEVEL OF COMPETENCE |  |  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence |
| English |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Science | 189 | 59 | 114 | 16 | $31 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 189 | 59 | 114 | 16 | $31 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

Figure 3.9.3: FORM 2 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)


Table 3.9.4: FORM 3 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)

| SUBJECTS | Number <br> Sat | LEVEL OF COMPETENCE |  |  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence |
| English |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 64 | 49 | 15 | 0 | $77 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 120 | 80 | 37 | 3 | $67 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Science | 42 | 3 | 17 | 22 | $7 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Spanish | 15 | 0 | 12 | 3 | $0 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| French | 26 | 0 | 15 | 11 | $0 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 267 | 132 | 96 | 39 | $49 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $15 \%$ |

Figure 3.9.4: FORM 3 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)


Table 3.9.5: FORM 4 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)

| SUBJECTS | Number <br> Sat | LEVEL OF COMPETENCE |  |  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence |
| English |  | 70 | 44 | 0 | $61 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 90 | 17 | 50 | 23 | $19 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 9 | 5 | 4 | 0 | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Science | 28 | 0 | 7 | 21 | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Spanish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| French | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 241 | 92 | 105 | 44 | $38 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $18 \%$ |

Figure 3.9.5: FORM 4 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)


Table 3.9.6: FORM 5 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)

| SUBJECTS | Number <br> Sat | LEVEL OF COMPETENCE |  |  | PERCENTAGE |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence | Master | Competent | Developing <br> Competence |
| English | 60 | 11 | 46 | 3 | $18 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mathematics | 79 | 4 | 39 | 36 | $5 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Social <br> Studies | 68 | 14 | 48 | 6 | $21 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Science | 26 | 0 | 8 | 18 | $0 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Spanish | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| French | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall | 233 | 29 | 141 | 63 | $12 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

Figure 3.9.6: FORM 5 PERFORMANCE IN THE CARIBBEAN CERTIFICATE OF SECONDARY LEVEL COMPETENCE (CCSLC)


TABLE 3.9.7: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CCSLC PERFORMANCE FOR OVER A FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA

| Subjects Tested | GENDER | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sititing | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sitting | Other | Master | Competent | DevelopingCompetent | Entered | Sitting | Other |
|  | Males | 35 | 49 | 6 | 100 | 90 | 10 | 27 | 46 | 11 | 90 | 84 | 6 | 19 | 51 | 10 | 85 | 80 | 5 | 27 | 55 | 7 | 92 | 89 | 3 | 29 | 47 | 2 | 80 | 78 | 2 |
|  | Females | 48 | 36 | 5 | 91 | 89 | 2 | 49 | 36 | 0 | 90 | 85 | 5 | 57 | 38 | 3 | 102 | 98 | 4 | 44 | 49 | 5 | 101 | 98 | 3 | 82 | 43 | 1 | 98 | 96 | 2 |
|  | Total | 83 | 85 | 11 | 191 | 179 | 12 | 76 | 82 | 11 | 180 | 169 | 11 | 76 | 89 | 13 | 187 | 178 | 9 | 71 | 104 | 12 | 193 | 187 | 6 | 81 | 90 | 3 | 178 | 174 | 4 |
|  | Males\% | 38.89 | 54.44 | 6.67 |  |  |  | 32.14 | 54.76 | 13.10 |  |  |  | 23.75 | 63.75 | 12.50 |  |  |  | 30.34 | 61.80 | 7.87 |  |  |  | 37.18 | 60.26 | 2.56 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 53.93 | 40.45 | 5.62 |  |  |  | 57.65 | 42.35 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 58.16 | 38.78 | 3.06 |  |  |  | 44.90 | 50.00 | 5.10 |  |  |  | 85.42 | 44.79 | 1.04 |  |  |  |
| ENGLSH CCSLC | Total\% | 92.82 | 94.89 | 12.28 |  |  |  | 44.97 | 48.52 | 6.51 |  |  |  | 42.70 | 50.00 | 7.30 |  |  |  | 37.97 | 55.61 | 6.42 |  |  |  | 46.55 | 51.72 | 1.72 |  |  |  |
| FRENCH CCSL | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
|  | Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 6 | 37 | 35 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 1 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 13 | 23 | 9 | 48 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 11 | 31 | 26 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 10.00 | 60.00 | 30.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 58.33 | 41.67 |  |  |  |
|  | Females \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 85.71 | 14.29 |  |  |  | 34.29 | 48.57 | 17.14 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 57.14 | 42.86 |  |  |  |
|  | Total\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.00 | 87.50 | 12.50 |  |  |  | 28.89 | 51.11 | 20.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 57.69 | 42.31 |  |  |  |
| integrated SCIENCE CCSLC | Males | 19 | 50 | 30 | 122 | 99 | 23 | 25 | 86 | 33 | 163 | 144 | 19 | 20 | 68 | 37 | 142 | 125 | 17 | 25 | 67 | 45 | 140 | 137 | 3 | 18 | 70 | 48 | 140 | 136 | 4 |
|  | Females | 22 | 73 | 37 | 136 | 132 | 4 | 57 | 100 | 14 | 178 | 171 | 7 | 34 | 66 | 25 | 131 | 125 | 6 | 44 | 68 | 37 | 149 | 149 | 0 | 44 | 76 | 29 | 155 | 149 | 6 |
|  | Total | 41 | 123 | 67 | 258 | 231 | 27 | 82 | 186 | 47 | 341 | 315 | 26 | 54 | 134 | 62 | 273 | 250 | 23 | 69 | 135 | 82 | 289 | 286 | 3 | 62 | 146 | 77 | 295 | 285 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% | 19.19 | 50.51 | 30.30 |  |  |  | 17.36 | 59.72 | 22.92 |  |  |  | 16.00 | 54.40 | 29.60 |  |  |  | 18.25 | 48.91 | 32.85 |  |  |  | 13.24 | 51.47 | 35.29 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 16.67 | 55.30 | 28.03 |  |  |  | 33.33 | 58.48 | 8.19 |  |  |  | 27.20 | 52.80 | 20.00 |  |  |  | 29.53 | 45.64 | 24.83 |  |  |  | 29.53 | 51.01 | 19.46 |  |  |  |
|  | Total \% | 17.75 | 53.25 | 29.00 |  |  |  | 26.03 | 59.05 | 14.92 |  |  |  | 21.60 | 53.60 | 24.80 |  |  |  | 24.13 | 47.20 | 28.67 |  |  |  | 21.75 | 51.23 | 27.02 |  |  |  |
| MATHEMATICS <br> CCSLC | Males | 40 | 40 | 23 | 131 | 103 | 28 | 5 | 38 | 42 | 114 | 85 | 29 | 26 | 45 | 15 | 92 | 86 | 6 | 25 | 41 | 32 | 107 | 98 | 9 | 30 | 45 | 37 | 113 | 112 | 1 |
|  | Females | 38 | 57 | 19 | 119 | 114 | 5 | 35 | 47 | 33 | 124 | 115 | 9 | 42 | 37 | 13 | 96 | 92 | 4 | 29 | 45 | 37 | 116 | 111 | 5 | 40 | 59 | 22 | 123 | 121 | 2 |
|  | Total | 78 | 97 | 42 | 250 | 217 | 33 | 40 | 85 | 75 | 238 | 200 | 38 | 68 | 82 | 28 | 188 | 178 | 10 | 54 | 86 | 69 | 223 | 209 | 14 | 70 | 104 | 59 | 236 | 233 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% | 38.83 | 38.83 | 22.33 |  |  |  | 5.88 | 44.71 | 49.41 |  |  |  | 30.23 | 52.33 | 17.44 |  |  |  | 25.51 | 41.84 | 32.65 |  |  |  | 26.79 | 40.18 | 33.04 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 33.33 | 50.00 | 16.67 |  |  |  | 30.43 | 40.87 | 28.70 |  |  |  | 45.65 | 40.22 | 14.13 |  |  |  | 26.13 | 40.54 | 33.33 |  |  |  | 33.06 | 48.76 | 18.18 |  |  |  |
|  | Total\% | 35.94 | 44.70 | 19.35 |  |  |  | 20.00 | 42.50 | 37.50 |  |  |  | 38.20 | 46.07 | 15.73 |  |  |  | 25.84 | 41.15 | 33.01 |  |  |  | 30.04 | 44.64 | 25.32 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{c\|c} \text { SOCIAL } & \text { F } \\ \text { STUDIESCSSLC } & \text { T } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Males | 14 | 55 | 10 | 91 | 79 | 12 | 20 | 34 | 8 | 73 | 62 | 11 | 24 | 39 | 13 | 82 | 76 | 6 | 30 | 41 | 12 | 86 | 83 | 3 | 34 | 45 | 8 | 90 | 87 | 3 |
|  | Females | 28 | 60 | 7 | 97 | 95 | 2 | 40 | 32 | 1 | 77 | 73 | 4 | 41 | 42 | 8 | 91 | 91 | 0 | 39 | 34 | 8 | 86 | 81 | 5 | 65 | 44 | 1 | 112 | 110 | 2 |
|  | Total | 42 | 115 | 17 | 188 | 174 | 14 | 60 | 66 | 9 | 150 | 135 | 15 | 65 | 81 | 21 | 173 | 167 | 6 | 69 | 75 | 20 | 172 | 164 | 8 | 99 | 89 | 9 | 202 | 197 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% | 17.72 | 69.62 | 12.66 |  |  |  | 32.26 | 54.84 | 12.90 |  |  |  | 31.58 | 51.32 | 17.11 |  |  |  | 36.14 | 49.40 | 14.46 |  |  |  | 39.08 | 51.72 | 9.20 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 29.47 | 63.16 | 7.37 |  |  |  | 54.79 | 43.84 | 1.37 |  |  |  | 45.05 | 46.15 | 8.79 |  |  |  | 48.15 | 41.98 | 9.88 |  |  |  | 59.09 | 40.00 | 0.91 |  |  |  |
|  | Total\% | 24.14 | 66.09 | 9.77 |  |  |  | 44.44 | 48.89 | 6.67 |  |  |  | 38.92 | 48.50 | 12.57 |  |  |  | 42.07 | 45.73 | 12.20 |  |  |  | 50.25 | 45.18 | 4.57 |  |  |  |

Department of Education End of Year Report 2014-2015
TABLE 3.9.7: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CCSLC PERFORMANCE FOR OVER A FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA CONT’D

|  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  |  |  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjects Tested | GENDER | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing Competent | Entered | sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sitting | Other | Master | Competent | Developing. Competent | Entered | Sitting | Other |
| SPANISHCCSLC | Males | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
|  | Females | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 34 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
|  | Total | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 28 | 17 | 0 | 46 | 45 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 17 | 15 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 83.33 | 16.67 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 80.00 | 20.00 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.50 | 87.50 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 54.55 | 45.45 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 80.00 | 20.00 |  |  |  |
|  | Total\% | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.00 | 80.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 62.22 | 37.78 | 0.00 |  |  |  | 0.00 | 80.00 | 20.00 |  |  |  |
| OVERALL | Males | 108 | 195 | 69 | 445 | 372 | 73 | 77 | 204 | 94 | 440 | 375 | 65 | 90 | 205 | 75 | 404 | 370 | 34 | 118 | 212 | 99 | 448 | 429 | 19 | 111 | 222 | 102 | 450 | 435 | 15 |
|  | Females | 136 | 231 | 68 | 448 | 435 | 13 | 181 | 215 | 48 | 469 | 444 | 25 | 175 | 196 | 50 | 435 | 421 | 14 | 186 | 228 | 93 | 523 | 507 | 16 | 231 | 234 | 60 | 509 | 495 | 14 |
|  | Total | 244 | 426 | 137 | 893 | 807 | 86 | 258 | 419 | 142 | 909 | 819 | 90 | 265 | 401 | 125 | 839 | 791 | 48 | 304 | 440 | 192 | 971 | 936 | 35 | 342 | 456 | 162 | 959 | 930 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Males\% | 29.03 | 52.42 | 18.55 |  |  |  | 20.53 | 54.40 | 25.07 |  |  |  | 24.32 | 55.41 | 20.27 |  |  |  | 27.51 | 49.42 | 23.08 |  |  |  | 25.52 | 51.03 | 23.45 |  |  |  |
|  | Females\% | 31.26 | 53.1 | 15.63 |  |  |  | 40.77 | 48.42 | 10.81 |  |  |  | 41.57 | 46.56 | 11.88 |  |  |  | 36.69 | 44.97 | 18.34 |  |  |  | 40.61 | 47.27 | 12.12 |  |  |  |
|  | Total \% | 30.24 | 52.79 | 16.98 |  |  |  | 31.50 | 51.16 | 17.34 |  |  |  | 33.50 | 50.70 | 15.80 |  |  |  | 32.48 | 47.01 | 20.51 |  |  |  | 33.55 | 49.03 | 17.42 |  |  |  |

## Source: Caribbean Examinations Council

## CARIBBEAN SECONDARY EDUCATION CERTIFICATE (CSEC)

In May/June 2015, a total of 186 candidates from the Albena Lake Hodge Comprehensive School wrote the Caribbean Examinations Council (CXC) examination for the Caribbean Secondary Education Certificate (CSEC) at the Technical and General Proficiencies. There were 1034 subject entries of which 1000 were at the General Proficiency and 34 at the Technical Proficiency. This year registered an increase in candidate entries and a decrease in subject entries over last year. In May/June 2014, there were 176 candidate entries and 1054 subject entries.

Those subjects offered at Technical Proficiency were: Building Technology Construction, Building Technology Woods and Electrical and Electronic Technology.

Thirty three subjects are offered by the Caribbean Examinations Council at the CSEC level. Students at the Albena Lake Hodge Comprehensive School entered for 31 of these subjects. (See Table 3.14).

## PERFORMANCE OF CANDIDATES

This year, 995 of the 1034 subject entries were completed and forwarded to CXC. The performance of candidates in the CSEC May/June 2015 examinations showed a slight decline when compared to that of 2014. Overall seventy six percent ( $76 \%$ ) of the subject entries presented for General and Technical proficiencies achieved grades I to III compared with $78 \%$ in $2014,79 \%$ in $2013,78 \%$ in 2012 ; and $78 \%$ in 2011 . The statistics show that $47 \%$ of the candidates achieved acceptable grades in four or more subjects down from $59 \%$ in the previous year. This year there were 60 candidates achieving acceptable grades in 5 or more subjects with Mathematics and English.

There was a small increase in the level of grade achievement. Figure 3.11 indicates that approximately $50 \%$ of the subject entries achieved grades 1 and 2 . In 2014, 49\% of the subject entries achieved grades 1 and 2. A summary on performance in each subject is given in Tables 3.14 and 3.16.

Figure 3.10: CSEC Enrollment June 2015 as Percentage of largest Subject cohort


Figure 3.11: CSEC PERFORMANCE


Figure 3.12: PERCENTAGE OF CANDIDATES ACHIEVING GRADES I TO III AT CSEC


Figure 3.13: PERCENTAGE ACHIEVING 4 OR MORE CSEC AT GRADE I TO III


Table 3.14: STUDENT PERFORMANCE AT CARIBBEAN SECONDARY EDUCATION

## CERTIFICATE

| SUBJECTS | Number of Entries | Number Sat | Number of Candidates achieving Grades 1-3 | Percentage \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LANGUAGES |  |  |  |  |
| English A | 122 | 120 | 104 | 87 |
| English B | 58 | 58 | 55 | 95 |
| French | 34 | 33 | 17 | 52 |
| Spanish | 54 | 52 | 31 | 60 |
| MATHEMATICS | 126 | 124 | 71 | 57 |
| SCIENCES |  |  |  |  |
| Integrated Science | 33 | 32 | 22 | 69 |
| Biology | 26 | 26 | 24 | 92 |
| Chemistry | 18 | 18 | 15 | 83 |
| Physics | 30 | 28 | 21 | 75 |
| Agriculture Science S/A | 16 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| Human and Social Biology | 84 | 81 | 34 | 42 |
| HUMANITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Caribbean History | 20 | 20 | 13 | 65 |
| Geography | 30 | 29 | 25 | 86 |
| Social Studies | 63 | 58 | 42 | 72 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BUSINESS } \\ & \hline \text { STUDIES } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Office | 42 | 42 | 41 | 98 |
| Administration Principles of Accounts | 19 | 19 | 7 | 37 |
| Principles of Business | 34 | 32 | 32 | 100 |
| Economics | 6 | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| EDPM | 47 | 47 | 47 | 100 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { HOME } \\ & \text { ECONOMICS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food and Nutrition | 24 | 24 | 24 | 100 |
| Clothing and | 7 | 7 | 7 | 100 |
| Home Management | 9 | 9 | 9 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { INFORMATION } \\ & \text { TECHNOLOGGY } \end{aligned}$ | 30 | 30 | 28 | 93 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { PHYSICAL }}{\text { EDUCATION AND }} \\ & \hline \text { SPORTS } \end{aligned}$ | 17 | 17 | 17 | 100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TECHNICAL } \\ & \text { SUBJECTS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Technical Drawing | 13 | 13 | 9 | 69 |
| Building Technology (Construction) | 15 | 13 | 12 | 92 |
| Building Technology (Woods) | 16 | 14 | 8 | 57 |
| Electrical and Electronic Technology | 3 | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| CREATIVE ARTS |  |  |  |  |
| Visual Arts | 30 | 18 | 11 | 61 |
| Music | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Theatre Arts | 7 | 7 | 7 | 100 |



Table 3.15: SHOWING 6 OR MORE PASSES AT CSEC BY GENDER FOR MAY-JUNE 2015 SITTING

|  | NUMBER OF SUBJECTS PASSED |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| NO. OF <br> STUDENTS | 1 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 13 | 13 |
| Females | 1 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 7 |
| Males | - | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |

Figure 3.15: Number of students passing 6 or more subjects at CSEC by gender in May -June 2015 sitting


## TABLE 3.16: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CSEC PERFORMANCE OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA



TABLE 3.16: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CSEC PERFORMANCE OVER FIVE YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA CONT’D


$$
\text { M- Male } \quad \text { F- Female }
$$

Source: Caribbean Examinations Council

## PERFORMANCE ON CARIBBEAN ADVANCED PROFICIENCY EXAMINATIONS (CAPE)

In May/June 2015 a total of 136 candidates in Upper and Lower Six at the Albena Lake Hodge Comprehensive School wrote subjects at the Caribbean Advanced Proficiency level. There were 551 subject entries. This year registered an increase in both candidate and subject entries over last year. In May/June 2014 there were 120 candidates and 474 subject entries. The Caribbean Examinations Council (CXC) offers a total of 58 Units in 33 subjects at this level. At the Albena Lake Hodge Comprehensive School a total of 48 Units (29 Unit 1 courses and 19 Unit 2 courses) in 29 subjects were offered in 2015.

## Performance of candidates

The overall performance of candidates in the CAPE May/June 2015 examinations showed some improvement when compared to that of 2014. Eighty eight percent ( $88 \%$ ) of the subject entries presented achieved Grades I to V compared with $86 \%$ in 2014, $81 \%$ in 2013, 82\% in 2012 and $84 \%$ in 2011. Of the 48 Units examined performance improved in 12 units, declined in 17 units and remained the same in 10 units. Five (5) units which were not examined in 2014 were examined in 2015. Additionally, Agricultural Science, Physical Education and Sport, Tourism and Entrepreneurship were offered for the first time by CXC. Figure 3.18 shows, that $38 \%$ of the subject entries achieved grades $1-3$ compared to $36 \%$ in $2014,37 \%$ in 2013, $41 \%$ in 2012, and $39 \%$ in 2011. The statistics show that $50 \%$ of the subject entries achieved grades IV and V compared to $49 \%$ in 2014. Also, $12 \%$ of the subject entries achieved unacceptable grades VI and VII down from $14 \%$ in 2014. These statistics indicate that there is still a critical need to raise the level of grade achievement. A summary on CAPE Unit I performance in each subject unit is given in Table 3.19 and Table 3.21 and the summary on CAPE Unit II performance in each subject unit is given in Table 3.20 and Table 3.22.

Figure 3.17: CAPE PEFORMANCE IN UNIT I \& II


Figure 3.18: CAPE PERFORMANCE UNIT I \& II


Table 3.19: Percentage of CAPE Unit 1 candidates achieving Grades I - V by subject.

| SUBJECTS | Number of Entries | Number Sat | Candidates Achieving Grades 1-5 | Percentage \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting | 7 | 7 | 6 | 86 |
| Agircultural Science | 3 | 3 | 1 | 33 |
| Applied Mathematics | 8 | 7 | 5 | 71 |
| Art and Design | 6 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Biology | 16 | 16 | 12 | 75 |
| Caribbean Studies | 67 | 65 | 65 | 100 |
| Chemistry | 13 | 13 | 9 | 69 |
| Communication Studies | 71 | 68 | 65 | 96 |
| Computer Science | 8 | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| Digitial Media | 3 | 3 | 2 | 67 |
| Economics | 6 | 6 | 5 | 83 |
| Đectrical \& Electronic Technology | 2 | 2 | 1 | 50 |
| Entrepreneurship | 21 | 17 | 16 | 94 |
| Environmental Science | 4 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Food and Nutrition | 14 | 12 | 11 | 92 |
| French | 3 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Geography | 4 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Geometrical \& Mechanical Engineering Draw ing | 5 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| History | 2 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Information Technology | 13 | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| Law | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Literatures in English | 5 | 4 | 3 | 75 |
| Management of Business | 16 | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| Physical Education and Sport | 11 | 10 | 10 | 100 |
| Pure Mathematics | 10 | 10 | 4 | 40 |
| Physics | 8 | 7 | 5 | 71 |
| Sociology | 15 | 14 | 8 | 57 |
| Spanish | 6 | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| Tourism | 16 | 14 | 13 | 93 |

Figure 3.19: PERCENTAGE OF CAPE UNIT 1 CANDIDATES ACHIEVING GRADES I-V BY SUJECT MAY-JUNE 2015 SITTING


Table 3.20: Percentage of CAPE Unit 2 candidates achieving Grades I - V by subject.

|  | Number of Entries | Number Sat | Candidates Achieving Grades 1-5 | Percentage \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting | 10 | 10 | 10 | 100 |
| Biology | 9 | 9 | 9 | 100 |
| Chemistry | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Computer Science | 12 | 12 | 12 | 100 |
| Digital Media | 14 | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| Economics | 5 | 5 | 5 | 100 |
| Eectricial and Eectronic Technology | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 |
| Food and Nutrition | 13 | 13 | 13 | 100 |
| French | 3 | 3 | 2 | 67 |
| Geography | 3 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| Geometrical and Mechanical Draw ing | 3 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| Information Technology | 8 | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| Law | 46 | 43 | 30 | 70 |
| Literatures in English | 6 | 6 | 5 | 83 |
| Management of Business | 22 | 21 | 21 | 100 |
| Pure Mathematics | 4 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| Physics | 7 | 7 | 6 | 86 |
| Sociology | 18 | 18 | 15 | 83 |
| Spanish | 2 | 2 | 1 | 50 |

Figure 3.20: PERCENTAGE OF CAPE UNIT 2 CANDIDATES ACHIEVING GRADES I-V BY SUJECT MAY-JUNE 2015 SITTING


TABLE 3.21: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CAPE PERFORMANCE UNIT I OVER FIVE-YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA


TABLE 3.21: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CAPE PERFORMANCE UNIT I OVER FIVE-YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA CONT’D


TABLE 3.22: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CAPE PERFORMANCE UNIT II OVER FIVE-YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA


TABLE 3.22: ALBENA LAKE HODGE COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL CAPE PERFORMANCE UNIT II OVER FIVE-YEAR PERIOD 2011-2015 FOR ANGUILLA CONT’D


[^1]
## Source: Caribbean Examinations Council


[^0]:    N.B: Please note that Deputy Principals are classroom teachers and Music Teachers are shared with Secondary.

[^1]:    M- Male
    F-Female

